No news yet. But if it happens, will be sure to let you know. Thanks for your kind offer.

-----Original Message-----
From: Neuffer, John [mailto:itic.org]
Sent: Wednesday, November 04, 2009 2:30 PM
To: Weisel, Barbara
Subject: TPP

Hey Barbara,

How have things been? I hear there may be some TPP-related activity at the APEC leaders meeting. If there is and we can be of help with a press release, just let me know. Thanks.

John
No press at all and will make clear at the outset the discussion is only for company consumption....should not be reading about it in Inside US Trade.

John

On 1/12/10 9:12 AM, "Barbara Weisel" <Barbara_Weisel@USTR.EOP.GOV> wrote:
Yup. I'll be there. Is this open to press?

-----Original Message-----
From: Neuffer, John [mailto:NeufferJohn@itic.org]
Sent: Tuesday, January 12, 2010 9:06 AM
To: Weisel, Barbara
Cc: Ryan Hill
Subject: Today...TPP

Hi Barbara,

Just checking to confirm you are good to go to join us for our Trade Policy Committee meeting this afternoon at ITI (1101 K Street, Suite 610)
starting
from 3:30. We'll have delicious, hot coffee awaiting your arrival. You bringin' anyone along with you? Thanks Barbara.

John
Fabulous...thanks Barbara.

John

On 1/6/10 8:55 AM, "Barbara Weisel" <Barbara_Weisel@USTR.EOP.GOV> wrote:

John,  
This works. Thanks for setting it up.  
See you next week.  
Barbara

Hi Barbara,

Happy New Year...hope the holidays were restful for you.

Just wanted to loop back to confirm that we are good to go to host you next week (Tues, Jan 12) for a TPP discussion. We have been able to move things around for you to join our Trade Policy Committee at 3:30 PM that day. We are now at 1101 K Street, Suite 610.

Please let me know if this all still works for you. Probably next Monday, we can get you a list of participants from our
side. Also, feel free to bring anyone from your staff you think should attend.

Thanks Barbara.

John

On 12/23/09 2:07 PM, "Neuffer, John" wrote:
Thanks Barbara.

On 12/23/09 12:46 PM, "Barbara Weisel" wrote:
Deal. Happy holidays.

Hi Barbara,

Will see if we can move our meeting to a 3PM start on Jan 12. In that case, we would go over committee stuff first and begin the TPP discussion when you arrive at around 3:30-3:45. Might take until the first part of the week of Jan 4 to get this timing squared away, so would be great if you could pencil 3:30-4:30 into your schedule for now. Thanks Barbara.

John
On 12/22/09 5:26 PM, "Barbara Weisel"
<Barbara_Weisel@USTR.EOP.GOV> wrote:

John:
Sorry, has been incredibly busy day. I have a meeting from 1:45 - 3 on
Jan 12. If we can do it later, that would work. If not, will have to
schedule another time. Let me know what works. And enjoy Montana,
although sounds pretty cold out there.
Best,
Barbara

-----Original Message-----
From: Neuffer, John [mailto@itic.org]
Sent: Tuesday, December 22, 2009 3:18 PM
To: Weisel, Barbara
Subject: Meeting at ITI

Hey Barbara,

Tried call you a couple times but could not get you at your
desk, so thought
I'd try with an e-mail. As I mentioned, our members are very interested in
hearing from you about the TPP process and how we can plug in and help.
Our next Trade Policy Committee meeting is scheduled for Tues, Jan 12 from 2PM. I know you said the AM would be more convenient for you, but these committee meetings are always in the after. Let me know if the 2PM timing works for you. If not, we can try to set something up separately as a one-off.

The way we generally do these meetings with guest speakers is have them kick things off with 15 minutes or so of remarks (preferably off-the-cuff) followed by Q & A. The whole thing would not require more than an hour of your time...probably less.

So let me know about the timing. My last day in the office is tomorrow...back at work on Jan 4. Will be heading to Montana on Friday for a week to be with family.

Hope you get some good time off and well-deserved rest. Happy holidays Barbara.
John
f y i

----Original Message------
From: Hiroki Takeda  
Sent: Wednesday, May 11, 2011 9:11 AM
To: Agress, Philip
Cc: Ives, Ralph
Subject: Joining TPP Late Hard For Japan: U.S. Official

Wednesday, May 11, 2011

Joining TPP Late Hard For Japan: U.S. Official

WASHINGTON (Nikkei)—It will be difficult for Japan to join the Trans-Pacific Partnership after the framework is complete, said Kurt Tong, the U.S. Ambassador to the Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) forum.

Speaking in Washington, Tong said the TPP negotiations involving the U.S. and eight other participants have made significant progress. If Japan misses its self-imposed, end-of-June deadline and postpones its participation until 2012 or later, it will have a harder time because it will have to win the agreement of all other countries in the group in order to join, Tong said.

(The Nikkei May 11 evening edition)
Great! Lucky too, I know how busy you are.
Sent from blackberry.

Jared Ragland, Ph.D.
Director, Office of Intellectual Property and Innovation
Office of the U.S. Trade Representative
Executive Office of the President
(202) 395-9453 Office
(202) Cell

Yes; 4 works for me. We’ll call then. thanks

Ralph, really sorry, I’ve been stuck at home and am on my way in now. I’ll be in transit at 3:30. Any chance 4pm works for you?
Sent from blackberry.

Great; we’ll call then. thanks
Sure, I’ll be at 202-395-9453. 3:30 works for me.

Best,

Jared.

Of course, Jared. Would 3:30 work? Should we call you? Thanks

Ralph, do you have a quick moment to chat later this afternoon? I have a 2pm but am free after that.

Thanks!

Jared.
FYI

Neil

-----Original Message-----
From: a2k-bounces@lists.keionline.org [mailto:a2k-bounces@lists.keionline.org] On Behalf Of Thiru Balasubramaniam
Sent: 10 March 2011 17:14
To: ip-health@lists.keionline.org; a2k@lists.keionline.org
Subject: [A2k] The complete Feb 10,2011 text of the US proposal for the TPP IPR chapter

http://keionline.org/node/1091

The complete Feb 10, 2011 text of the US proposal for the TPP IPR chapter By James Love Created 10 Mar 2011 - 11:00am KEI has obtained the February 10, 2011 US government draft of the intellectual property chapter of the Trans-Pacific Partnership Agreement (TPP).

[1]

The text is marked to be "protected from unauthorized disclosure," and the USTR is seeking to classify the document until four years from entry into force of the close of the negotiations. The document has been distributed to all member states participating in the TPP negotiations, so it is not secret from any of the parties in the negotiations. The document may also be subject to review by the hundreds of corporate insiders who serve on USTR advisory board. It is, however, secret from the taxpayers and voters who live in the United States, and people everywhere who are going to live under the new norms. In this case, the secret text involves tough new rules for patents, copyright and related rights including broadcaster rights and expressions of folklore, digital rights management information, trade marks, domain names, geographic indicators, regulatory test data for pharmaceutical drugs and agricultural products. There are also more than 15 pages of obligations regarding the enforcement of those rights, including criminal sanctions against infringers.

KEI objects to the policy of making the negotiating text of intellectual property agreements secret, particularly when the documents are distributed to all parties in a negotiations, and thus are only secret from the public. The Congress needs to intervene and require that such texts be made public routinely.

This Document Contains TPP CONFIDENTIAL Information MODIFIED HANDLING AUTHORIZED* Derived From:
Classification Guidance dated March 4, 2010
Reason: 1.4(b)
Declassify on: Four years from entry into force of the TPP agreement or, if no agreement enters into force, four years from the close of the negotiations.
This document must be protected from unauthorized disclosure, but may be mailed or transmitted over unclassified e-mail or fax, discussed over unsecured phone lines, and stored on unclassified computer systems. It must be stored in a locked or secured building, room, or container.

Source URL: http://keionline.org/node/1091
Links:

Thiru Balasubramaniam
Geneva Representative
Knowledge Ecology International (KEI)
@keionline.org

Tel (b) (6)
Mobile (b) (6)

A2k mailing list
A2k@lists.keionline.org
http://lists.keionline.org/mailman/listinfo/a2k_lists.keionline.org
Great, thanks.

Will do. Thanks Daniel.

Neil, please add me to your list as I cover both Brunei and Malaysia and am working on the TPP more broadly.

Thanks,
Daniel

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Daniel Watson
Senior Director, Southeast Asia and the Pacific
USTR/Office of SE Asia and the Pacific
202-395-9587
watson_daniel@ustr.eop.gov

From: Ingeneri, Philip M.
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2011 10:51 AM
To: Watson, Daniel L.
Subject: FW: Story on Brunei

From: Neil Turkewitz [mailto:(b) (6)@riaa.com]
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2011 10:40 AM
To: Oyewole, Abioye; Champlin, Meredith L; Altbach, Eric G.; Smith, Holly; Andrea Cornwell; Drinkard, David J; Ervin, Kendra; pettis.maureen@doc.gov; Rimmer, Donnette; Ingeneri, Philip M.
Subject: Story on Brunei
Sorry missed you first time around. I am going to try to update my dist list for SE Asia. Please let me know if you do not want to be included in this list. Thanks.

Neil

From: Neil Turkewitz  
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2011 10:33 AM  
To: 'Ehlers, Karl R.'; 'McCoy, Stanford'; 'paul.pizzeck@dhs.gov'; 'Nii, JEFFREY C'; 'Urban, JoEllen'; 'Urban, JoEllen'; 'chambersjc@state.gov'; 'Weisel, Barbara'; 'Fowler, Peter'; 'speckjg@state.gov'; 'BonillaJA@state.gov'; 'CoppolaMG@state.gov'; 'jacqueline.morales@uspto.gov'; Jennie Ness ( jennie.ness@mail.doc.gov) ( jennie.ness@trade.gov); jennifer boger (Jennifer.Boger@trade.gov); Molly Stech; Strong, Maria; 'Karol Pinha, Paula C.'; 'Susan Anthony (susan.anthony@uspto.gov)'; Kendall, Elizabeth; 'Alvarez, Kira M.';  Probir_Mehta@ustr.eop.gov; 'Ragland, Jared W.'  
Cc: Joe Papovich  
Subject: Story on Brunei

See the following.

Neil

Info-Guide for Stakeholders’ Forum (27 March 2011)

As part of the efforts by TPP parties to promote the exchange of views amongst stakeholders, a Stakeholders’ Forum has been scheduled for the afternoon of 27th March. A stakeholder programme schedule will be issued after registration closes.

Registration

2) Registration is required for all stakeholders, and will take place from 7 - 18 March 2011. Due to logistical constraints, Singapore will be unable to accept late registrations.

3) Interested stakeholders are requested to send the following details to tpp@tricom.com.sg (Attn: Cheryl Ng).
   - Name of organisation and representatives
   - Contact details
   - Synopsis of presentations
   - Relevance to the TPP Negotiations

List of Speakers

4) Due to capacity constraints, it may be necessary for the organisers to limit the number of speakers at the Forum. Stakeholders will be informed of whether they are invited to speak at the stakeholder Forum by 21 March 2011. In the event of over-whelming response, the organisers’ decision will be final.

Access to Stakeholder Forum

5) All participants will be issued stakeholder passes, and are requested to wear these passes during the event. Only individuals with the passes will be allowed entry.

6) Delegates from all TPP Parties will be invited to attend the stakeholder event at their own discretion.

Access to Negotiation Venue

7) Stakeholders will not be allowed to access the negotiation venue, nor to observe negotiations.

8) Trespassers will be evicted from the negotiation venue.

Request for meeting with negotiating Parties

9) Any request to meet with the negotiating Parties should be made directly with the relevant Parties or through the Singapore Virtual Secretariat (Wong Choo Sin: wong_choo_sin@mti.gov.sg). However, due to the tight negotiating schedule planned for the 6th Round, responses to stakeholder requests will be at the discretion of individual TPP Parties.
Administrative Advice

10) As a general rule, public demonstrations and processions are not allowed in Singapore without a permit from the Singapore Police Force, due to their propensity for law and order problems. The Singapore Police Force takes a serious view against activities which disrupt public order and peace. Offenders may face prosecution.
Very good. I'm attaching the stakeholder info guide for your reference.

Best,
Daniel

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Daniel Watson
Senior Director, Southeast Asia and the Pacific USTR/Office of SE Asia and the Pacific
202-395-9587
watson_daniel@ustr.eop.gov

-----Original Message-----
From: Siang Hee Tan [mailto:[b]@croplifeasia.org]\nSent: Thursday, March 10, 2011 8:23 AM
To: 'Doug Nelson'; Watson, Daniel L.
Cc: 'Vasant Patil'
Subject: RE: Singapore

Dear Daniel and Doug,

Thanks for the email. We will be submitting the application to your colleague in Singapore.

Siang Hee

-----Original Message-----
From: Doug Nelson [mailto:[b]@croplifeamerica.org]\nSent: Thursday, 10 March, 2011 9:11 PM
To: 'Watson, Daniel L.'
Cc: 'Vasant Patil'[b]@croplifeasia.org
Subject: RE: Singapore

Daniel, either Vasant Patil or Tan Siang Hee, both males, cc'd above, will probably be available to present the CropLife case in a side event.

Again, many thanks for your assistance.
Doug
Very good. If you have a name, I can put him/her on the list and make the request to the Singapore coordinator.

Daniel, given the limitations in that document, I am reconsidering going to Singapore and will probably have our local CropLife affiliate try to do a side event rather than me.
Doug -

Apologies, but please do not circulate the document I sent you earlier. Apparently, there were some internal notes from another delegation. I'm happy to follow up with any questions you might have, but please do not forward the document to anyone else.

Best,
Daniel

-----Original Message-----
From: Watson, Daniel L.
Sent: Tuesday, March 08, 2011 11:31 AM
To: Mehta, Probir J.; 'Doug Nelson'; M Orfei
Subject: RE: Singapore

Hi, Doug. I'm attaching the instructions from stakeholder participation, which we just received from the Singapore delegation. Note that time and space is limited, so you should contact the Singapore POC as soon as possible. Also, rooms have not been booked for stakeholders at this round, so you will need to look for something on your own. I understand there are many places near the Raffles City Convention Center, though.

Best,
Daniel

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Daniel Watson
Senior Director, Southeast Asia and the Pacific USTR/Office of SE Asia and the Pacific
202-395-9587
watson_daniel@ustr.eop.gov

-----Original Message-----
From: Mehta, Probir J.
Sent: Tuesday, March 08, 2011 10:49 AM
To: 'Doug Nelson'; M Orfei
Cc: Watson, Daniel L.
Subject: RE: Singapore

Doug --

That's my understanding. Sunday is the day set aside for presentations. I'm cc'ing Daniel Watson from our Southeast Asia shop, who is closer to this issue, in case he has anything to add.
Best,
Probir

-----Original Message-----
From: Doug Nelson [mailto:[b]@croplifteamerica.org]
Sent: Monday, March 07, 2011 11:05 AM
To: Mehta, Probir J.; M Orfei
Subject: Singapore

Probir, my understanding is that even with the change of schedule for the TPP round, all side events will be held on Sunday March 27. Just want to confirm as I am finalizing my travel plans and we will plan on doing an event with me as a speaker unless it makes no sense for me to travel there.
Appreciate your insight
Doug

This e-mail message may contain privileged and/or confidential information, and is intended to be received only by persons entitled to receive such information. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately. Please delete it and all attachments from any servers, hard drives or any other media. Other use of this e-mail by you is strictly prohibited.

All e-mails and attachments sent and received are subject to monitoring, reading and archival by CropLife America and RISE. The recipient of this e-mail is solely responsible for checking for the presence of "Viruses" or other "Malware". CropLife America and RISE accepts no liability for any damage caused by any such code transmitted by or accompanying this e-mail or any attachment.
A belated thanks for forwarding. Hope you had a safe trip back.

Not sure if you have seen this yet, but hope that you find it empowering.

Steve
From: Doug Nelson @croplifeamerica.org
Sent: Tuesday, February 01, 2011 11:13 AM
To: Ives, Ralph
Cc: Shawn Brown; Gunn, Trevor, Dr. @barnesrichardson.com; @its.jnj.com; @abbott.com; @fanwoodchemical.com; Mehta, Probir J.; Lindsay, Rhonda
Subject: Re: Meeting Confirmation For Tomorrow- TPP IP Issues

I hope they come on soon, this music is killing my ears!

Sent from my iPad

On Feb 1, 2011, at 11:07 AM, "Ives, Ralph" @AdvaMed.org wrote:

Me too – using # below. Is that right? It’s Craig’s so we need the leader.

From: Shawn Brown [mailto] @gphaoonline.org
Sent: Tuesday, February 01, 2011 11:04 AM
To: 'Gunn, Trevor, Dr.;'; @barnesrichardson.com’ @croplifeamerica.org’;
@its.jnj.com’; Ives, Ralph @abbott.com’
Cc: @fanwoodchemical.com’; 'Probir_Mehta@ustr.eop.gov'; 'Rhonda_Lindsay@ustr.eop.gov'
Subject: RE: Meeting Confirmation For Tomorrow - TPP IP Issues

Thanks Trevor—I’m on the call (listening to hold music)

Shawn Brown
Vice President of State Affairs
Generic Pharmaceutical Association
202-249-7105 (fax)
@gphaoonline.org
777 Sixth Street NW, Suite 510
Washington, DC 20001

From: Gunn, Trevor, Dr. [mailto] @medtronic.com
Sent: Tuesday, February 01, 2011 11:04 AM
To: Shawn Brown; @barnesrichardson.com’ @croplifeamerica.org’;
@its.jnj.com’; Ives, Ralph @abbott.com’
Cc: @fanwoodchemical.com’; 'Probir_Mehta@ustr.eop.gov'; 'Rhonda_Lindsay@ustr.eop.gov'
Subject: Re: Meeting Confirmation For Tomorrow - TPP IP Issues

We are awaiting "pick up" in USTR’s Security area. We will open up call ASAP. 1105am

From: Gunn, Trevor, Dr.
Sent: Monday, January 31, 2011 03:43 PM
To: Shawn Brown; @gphaoonline.org’ @barnesrichardson.com’; @its.jnj.com’; Ives, Ralph @abbott.com’; @croplifeamerica.org’; @fanwoodchemical.com’; 'Probir_Mehta@ustr.eop.gov'; 'Rhonda_Lindsay@ustr.eop.gov’; @advamed.org’; @advamed.org’; @cott.com’
Colleagues

Look fwd to seeing you tomorrow, as per below.

From: Gunn, Trevor, Dr.
Sent: Saturday, January 22, 2011 6:43 PM
To: Shawn Brown @barnesrichardson.com; Matthew McGrath @its.inj.com; Matthew McGrath @advamed.org; Ralph Ives (via phone) abbott.com; Claude Burcky abbott.com; Trevor Gunn abbott.com; Doug Nelson (Possibly by phone) abbott.com
Cc: Mehta, Probir J.; Rhonda Lindsay@ustr.eop.gov

Subject: Meeting Confirmation For Tomorrow - TPP IP Issues

Probir Mehta has confirmed a meeting for the following individuals, representing ITAC3 on TPP IP issues.

Place/Time: USTR Winder Room 305 at 11am on February 1

Attendees

Shawn Brown
Matthew McGrath
Ralph Ives (via phone)
Claude Burcky
Trevor Gunn
Doug Nelson (Possibly by phone)

Unknown

Craig Kramer

Probir: Unless you can provide another callin, feel free to use mine: + (b)(6)

This e-mail message may contain privileged and/or confidential information, and is intended to be received only by persons entitled to receive such information. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately. Please delete it and all attachments from any servers, hard drives or any other media. Other use of this e-mail by you is strictly prohibited.

All e-mails and attachments sent and received are subject to monitoring, reading and archival by CropLife America and RISE. The recipient of this e-mail is solely responsible for checking for the presence of "Viruses" or other "Malware". CropLife America and RISE accepts no liability for any damage caused by any such code transmitted by or accompanying this e-mail or any attachment.
From: Mehta, Probir J.
Sent: Tuesday, February 01, 2011 11:06 AM
To: 'Gunn, Trevor, Dr.'; barnesrichardson.com'; crolifeamerica.org'; its.jnj.com'; advamed'; abbot.com'
Cc: fanwoodchemical.com'; Lindsay, Rhonda
Subject: RE: Meeting Confirmation For Tomorrow - TPP IP Issues

Thanks, someone will be down momentarily.

From: Gunn, Trevor, Dr. [mailto:medtronic.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 01, 2011 11:04 AM
To: barnesrichardson.com'; crolifeamerica.org'; its.jnj.com'; advamed'; abbot.com'
Cc: fanwoodchemical.com'; Mehta, Probir J.; Lindsay, Rhonda
Subject: Re: Meeting Confirmation For Tomorrow - TPP IP Issues

We are awaiting "pick up" in USTR's Security area. We will open up call ASAP. 1105am

Colleagues
Look fwd to seeing you tomorrow, as per below.

From: Gunn, Trevor, Dr.
Sent: Monday, January 31, 2011 03:43 PM
To: Shawn Brown; barnesrichardson.com'; crolifeamerica.org'; its.jnj.com'; advamed'; abbot.com'
Cc: fanwoodchemical.com'; Mehta, Probir J. <Probir_Mehta@ustr.eop.gov>; Rhonda_Lindsay@ustr.eop.gov <Rhonda_Lindsay@ustr.eop.gov>
Subject: Meeting Confirmation For Tomorrow - TPP IP Issues

Probir Mehta has confirmed a meeting for the following individuals, representing ITAC3 on TPP IP issues.

Place/Time: USTR Winder Room 305 at 11am on February 1

Attendees
-------------------
Shawn Brown
Matthew McGrath
Ralph Ives (via phone)
Claude Burcky
Trevor Gunn
Doug Nelson (Possibly by phone)
Unknown
----------
Craig Kramer

Probir: Unless you can provide another callin, feel free to use mine: + (6) (6)
Australia Government Report Warns Against Including IP InTrade Agreements

By Michael Geist  
Tuesday December 14, 2010  
Source: http://www.michaelgeist.ca/content/vie/w/5517/125/  

The Australian Government's Productivity Commission, which is the government's independent research and advisory body on a range of economic, social and environmental issues affecting the welfare of Australians, has released a new report on the impact of bilateral and regional trade agreements. The report, which contains some key lessons for Canada given our current trade negotiations activities with Europe, India, and South American countries, warns against the inclusion of intellectual property within these trade agreements. The report concludes:

The Commission considers that Australia should not generally seek to include IP provisions in further BRTAs, and that any IP provisions that are proposed for a particular agreement should only be included after an economic assessment of the impacts, including on consumers, in Australia and partner countries. To safeguard against the prospect that acceptance of 'negative sum game' proposals, the assessment would need to find that implementing the provisions would likely generate overall net benefits for members of the agreement.

The report includes a detailed discussion of ACTA-like agreements that emphasize cooperation, information sharing, and enforcement activities.  
It notes what should be obvious to many participating countries, including Canada - "most of the benefits to IP rights holders from measures to promote adherence to existing rules in partner countries can be expected to accrue to third parties, such as rights holders in the United States."

Moreover, the report also highlights a crucial issue for countries like Australia and Canada - there is limited or no economic analysis of the benefits of IP provisions, which is crucial for countries that are net importers of copyright. I've written on several occasions on how Canada, like most countries, is a net importer. The report states:

The Commission is not convinced, however, that the approach adopted by Australia in relation to IP in trade agreements has always been in the best interests of either Australia or (most of) its trading partners.
Among other things, there does not appear to have been any economic analysis of the specific provisions in AUSFTA undertaken prior to the finalisation of negotiations, nor incorporated in the government’s supporting documentation to the parliament. As noted above, the AUSFTA changes to copyright imposed net costs on Australia, and extending these changes to other countries would be expected to impose net costs on them, principally to the benefit of third parties.

The report’s recommendations are not binding on the government, but do require a specific government response on whether they are accepted or rejected. The report comes just as leaked documents show that U.S. lobby groups are seeking inclusion ACTA+ provisions for the Trans Pacific Partnership, a regional trade deal that currently excludes Canada.

Judit Rius Sanjuan
Attorney
Knowledge Ecology International (KEI)
www.keionline.org
NYC Phone: 21 [b] (6)
Washington DC Phone: 20 [b] (6)

_________________________________________________________
A2k mailing list
A2k@lists.keionline.org
http://lists.keionline.org/mailman/listinfo/a2k_lists.keionline.org
Awesome. Thanks Stan.

From: McCoy, Stanford [mailto:Stanford_McCoy@ustr.eop.gov]
Sent: Thursday, October 14, 2010 6:15 PM
To: Jay Taylor; 'George.York@mail.house.gov'
Subject: Re: Look forward to seeing you Friday

I'll see you both there at 10. Jay per your message I'll try to be a couple minutes on the early side.

Sent from Stanford McCoy

George -

Yes please. You're right, we're at 950 F Street NW. Really appreciate you both coming to speak.

Jay

From: York, George [mailto:George.York@mail.house.gov]
Sent: Thursday, October 14, 2010 6:08 PM
To: Jay Taylor; 'Stanford_McCoy@ustr.eop.gov'
Subject: Re: Look forward to seeing you Friday

Jay,

Looking forward to tomorrow's meeting. Just to confirm, should we come to your offices at 950 F Street, NW.

Thanks,
George

From: Jay Taylor [mailto:jay.taylor@phrma.org>]
To: York, George; McCoy, Stanford < Stanford_McCoy@ustr.eop.gov>
Sent: Mon Oct 11 14:29:14 2010
Subject: Look forward to seeing you Friday

Stan and George -

Really appreciate you both taking time out of your busy schedules to come over to our offices to talk briefly with our "ISEC" (International Senior Executives Committee), which is comprised of the heads of our companies' global operations.
I have you slated between 10-1045 (if you could get here a few minutes ahead of time, that will let us get situated), and am open to any thoughts you have on substance -- could be a discussion of what is top of mind, such as TPP; tea leaf reading; thoughts on what we could be doing more effectively; and perhaps some Q and A.

Again, really appreciate your help. Please feel free to call or email with any questions. Hope you both had great weekends.

Best,

Jay

Jay Taylor
Vice President, International Affairs
PhRMA
950 F Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005
202.715.7040 (f)
@phrma.org
Wednesday, October 19, 2011

Farmers To Get Sweeteners For Selling Land As Japan Prepares For TPP

TOKYO (Nikkei)--The government will offer a new financial incentive to convince farmers to sell their land, a step designed to help consolidate farming areas into a smaller number of big farms under its action plan for revitalizing the agricultural sector over the next five years, The Nikkei learned Tuesday.

The move is aimed at boosting agricultural competitiveness with an eye to joining the Trans-Pacific Partnership free trade agreement. Proposals are to be submitted Thursday to the Council for the Realization of the Revival of the Food, Agriculture, Forestry and Fishery Industries, chaired by Prime Minister Yoshihiko Noda.

The action plan, whose draft was seen by The Nikkei, positions farm consolidation as key to lifting competitiveness and reiterates calls for larger farms. The government pays subsidies to farmers expanding their holdings, but the new incentive will effectively encourage farmers to give up agriculture.

The government will establish agricultural corporations in each community to oversee efforts to make farms larger. This will make it easier, for example, for joint purchases of machinery within a region. Elderly farmers will receive income by leasing land to the corporations. And the corporations will promote a changing of the guard by bringing in younger people eager to work the land. Red tape will also be reduced to pave the way for larger farms.

A private-public fund is to be set up to assist agricultural corporations. The government will put aside 20 billion yen in backing for the fund in its Fiscal Investment and Loan Program Plan for fiscal 2011.

(The Nikkei Oct. 19 morning edition)
From: Ives, Ralph (b) @AdvaMed.org>
Sent: Sunday, October 16, 2011 7:27 AM
To: Cutler, Wendy; Weisel, Barbara
Subject: Fw: LDP Leader Tanigaki Supports Japan Joining TPP Talks

----- Original Message -----  
From: Hiroki Takeda @advamed-tokyo.jp  
Sent: Sunday, October 16, 2011 07:24 AM  
To: Agress, Philip  
Cc: Ives, Ralph  
Subject: LDP Leader Tanigaki Supports Japan Joining TPP Talks

Saturday, October 15, 2011  

LDP Leader Tanigaki Supports Japan Joining TPP Talks  

TOKYO (Kyodo)--Sadakazu Tanigaki, leader of the main opposition Liberal Democratic Party, voiced support on Saturday for Japan joining the Trans-Pacific Partnership free-trade framework negotiations.

"It is necessary to determine if (the TPP) serves Japan's national interests through negotiations," Tanigaki said on a TV program.

Regarding whether Japan ought to join the TPP itself, Tanigaki said, "We still have only little information about it. We cannot decide that prematurely."

His remarks may cause controversy within the LDP as the party has not yet reached a consensus on the issue.
DPJ bares internal rifts as it mulls joining TPP talks By MASAMI ITO  The Japan Times, Saturday, Oct. 15, 2011 Staff writer
Supporters and opponents of the controversial Trans-Pacific Partnership free-trade talks were locked in a head-on collusion Friday as the Democratic Party of Japan kicked off internal discussions on whether to participate in the negotiations.

While Prime Minister Yoshihiko Noda has said he will make a decision by the time of the Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation forum summit in November, strong opposition within his own party casts a shadow over the outlook of when or even whether the country will join the talks and the future of the farm sector.

In a DPJ meeting, ex-trade minister Yoshio Hachiro, who heads the party's project team on economic cooperation, said that on the whole participating in the TPP would be better than not joining.

"I think that there are more positive aspects in Japan taking a leadership role in the Asia-Pacific region and participate in setting the rules" for the pact, Hachiro said. "There are various discussions domestically as well as within the party, but I think that we all agree to actively engage in talks."

But foes of the regional trade agreement expressed anger over the way the DPJ and the government are trying to reach a conclusion by the November APEC summit and over the lineup of the group's executive members, saying most of them are pro-TPP.

"We believe that about half of the DPJ is (against the TPP) and the executive lineup is not fair," former finance minister Masahiko Yamada said.
"We can't proceed with the discussions like this."

Koki Kobayashi, another opponent, stated angrily that the meeting should be completely open to the media to show the public what kind of discussions are being held on the issue, charging that recent media reports on the TPP are biased.

Journalists had to leave before the question-and-answer session between the DPJ lawmakers.

"Who is going to stand up and put a stop to (the view) that Japan is doing nothing to protest while other countries set their strategies against us (through the TPP)? That is the job of the DPJ," Kobayashi said.

Meanwhile, former Finance Minister Hirohisa Fujii expressed concern that taking an isolationist path would lead to nationalism and protectionism.
"Politically, the walls between countries would grow higher and there would be more narrow-minded nationalists and economically they would create a trend toward protectionism," Fujii said.

Nine Asia-Pacific countries, including the U.S., Australia and Vietnam, have joined the negotiations on the regional free-trade initiative. While supporters of the TPP are eager to join in to make sure Japan is part of the rule-making process, there is strong domestic opposition, especially from the farm sector, as the initiative seeks to eliminate all tariffs among member states in 10 years without exception, including on rice.DPJ mulls TPP

The Japan Times: Saturday, Oct. 15, 2011
(C) All rights reserved
Ives, Ralph @ AdvaMed.org

From: Ives, Ralph  
Sent: Monday, October 03, 2011 5:41 PM  
To: Ragland, Jared W.; Weiss, Jeff  
Cc: Agress, Philip  
Subject: RE: Next Steps: dial-in

Yes; let's do that. thanks

---

Ragland, Jared W. [mailto: Jared_Ragland@ustr.eop.gov]
From: Ragland, Jared W. [mailto:Jared_Ragland@ustr.eop.gov]  
Sent: Monday, October 03, 2011 5:39 PM  
To: Ives, Ralph; Weiss, Jeff  
Cc: Agress, Philip  
Subject: RE: Next Steps: dial-in

2:30 should be ok for me, but I'll only be able to stay to 3, hopefully enough time. Should we just plan on then? If Jeff can’t join then, I could discuss with you to 3, then Jeff could join in at 3 as planned.

Jared.

Jared W. Ragland, Ph.D.
Director, Office of Intellectual Property and Innovation
Office of the United States Trade Representative
Executive Office of the President
(202) 395-9453-Phone
(202) 395-3891-Fax
(202) Mobile
Jared_Ragland@ustr.eop.gov

---

From: Ives, Ralph (6) @ AdvaMed.org
Sent: Monday, October 03, 2011 5:37 PM  
To: Ragland, Jared W.; Weiss, Jeff  
Cc: Agress, Philip  
Subject: RE: Next Steps: dial-in

Phil will be out of the office. So, please use the following for whatever time we agree. Thanks

Conference Call-in Information:

International Access: (6)
US toll-free: (6)
Conference Code: (6)

---

From: Ragland, Jared W. [mailto:Jared_Ragland@ustr.eop.gov]
Sent: Monday, October 03, 2011 5:20 PM  
To: Ives, Ralph; Weiss, Jeff
Cc: Agress, Philip
Subject: RE: Next Steps

Any chance we could push this to earlier in the pm tomorrow? I’m sorry, but something has come up that is pulling me away from a 3pm. I could do 2 if that would work for others.

Best,

Jared.

Jared W. Ragland, Ph.D.
Director, Office of Intellectual Property and Innovation
Office of the United States Trade Representative
Executive Office of the President
(202) 395-9453-Phone
(202) 395-3891-Fax
(202) Mobile
Jared_Ragland@ustr.eop.gov

From: Ives, Ralph [mailto:AdvaMed.org]
Sent: Wednesday, September 21, 2011 6:29 PM
To: Ragland, Jared W.; Weiss, Jeff
Cc: Agress, Philip
Subject: Re: Next Steps

Thank you

From: Ragland, Jared W. [mailto:Jared_Ragland@ustr.eop.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, September 21, 2011 03:12 AM
To: Ives, Ralph; Weiss, Jeff <Jeff_Weiss@ustr.eop.gov>
Cc: Agress, Philip
Subject: Re: Next Steps

On my calendar.
Sent from blackberry.
--------------
Jared Ragland, Ph.D.
Director, Office of Intellectual Property and Innovation
Office of the U.S. Trade Representative
Executive Office of the President
(202) 395-9453 Office
(202) Cell

From: Ives, Ralph [b]@AdvaMed.org>[
To: Weiss, Jeff; Ragland, Jared W.
Cc: Agress, Philip [b]@AdvaMed.org>
Sent: Tue Sep 20 17:15:19 2011
Subject: RE: Next Steps

OK; let’s do 3 on the 4th. thanks
Sure, I can do the afternoon of the 3\textsuperscript{rd} or the 4\textsuperscript{th}.

Jeff and Jared,

Would you have time for a debrief sometime during the week of October 3? We could schedule a conference call separately or together – your choice.

Thank you,
Ralph

Ralph F. Ives
Executive Vice President
Global Strategy and Analysis
AdvaMed
701 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, DC 20004
Direct: 20\textsuperscript{2} 783-8750
Fax: 202-783-8750
Email @advamed.org
----- Original Message -----  
From: Hiroki Takeda [mailto:...@advamed-tokyo.jp]  
Sent: Saturday, September 24, 2011 10:00 PM  
To: Agress, Philip  
Cc: Ives, Ralph  
Subject: Edano: Decision on TPP as soon as possible  

Phil,  

The news report says: "According to officials of the Japanese Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry, Goh told Edano that unless Japan joins the negotiations at an early stage, it will not be able to take part in making rules for the TPP."

"Goh also said that it is possible to leave the negotiations halfway if the TPP is expected to have a negative effect on the Japanese economy."  

Best regards,  

Mori  

Edano: Decision on TPP as soon as possible  

BY MASANOBU FURUYA STAFF WRITER  

2011/09/25 The Asahi Shimbun  

SINGAPORE -- Japanese trade minister Yukio Edano told Singaporean leaders on Sept. 23 that Japan wants to decide as early as possible on joining negotiations for the Trans-Pacific Partnership agreement that eliminates tariffs.

He made the remark in separate meetings with Singaporean Prime Minister Lee Hsien Loong and his predecessor, Goh Chok Tong.

The TPP was established in 2006 by Singapore, Brunei, Chile and New Zealand.

Goh was a driving force behind the establishment.

"It is desirable to join the negotiations as early as possible by obtaining consent (from those concerned) in Japan," Edano told reporters after his meetings with Lee and Goh. "In Japan, it is thought that the TPP framework will oblige all member countries to eliminate tariffs without exception. But there is a sufficient possibility that the obligation will be eased."
According to officials of the Japanese Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry, Goh told Edano that unless Japan joins the negotiations at an early stage, it will not be able to take part in making rules for the TPP.

Goh also said that it is possible to leave the negotiations halfway if the TPP is expected to have a negative effect on the Japanese economy.

Lee told Edano that it is important for as many countries as possible to join the TPP.

The Japanese government has yet to join the talks mainly because of staunch opposition from the agricultural sector.

The TPP is expected to promote moves of goods and services beyond national borders by eliminating tariffs.

In addition to the initial four countries, five other countries, including the United States, have joined the negotiations to make a basic framework for the TPP by November.
Will do. Hope you are well.

JT

Hey guys –

Hope all is well. Wanted to pass along the following information your task force. Can you circulate it to your members?

Best, Probir

TPP Leads: Please pass along the following information to stakeholders interested in attending the stakeholder events in Lima.

**Stakeholders’ Activities**
Peru will host a Stakeholder Forum on the afternoon of October 23rd and a Stakeholder Briefing on the morning of October 25th.

The Stakeholder Forum will take place at Auditorio de PromPeru.
Address: Jorge Basadre 610, San Isidro, Lima 27
Tel: (511) 616-7300

The Stakeholder Briefing will take place at the JW Marriott Lima Hotel.
Address: Malecon De La Reserva 615, Miraflores, Lima 18
Tel: (511) 217-7000

More information will be provided closer to the event date.

**Stakeholder Registration**
Registration is required for all stakeholders. All stakeholders are strongly encouraged to register by September 30th at: [http://www.acuerdoscomerciales.gob.pe/index.php?option=com_wrapper&view=wrapper&Itemid=184](http://www.acuerdoscomerciales.gob.pe/index.php?option=com_wrapper&view=wrapper&Itemid=184)
If a stakeholder is interested in making a presentation at the forum, they should contact:
Ms. Roxana Caballero
rcaballero@mincetur.gob.pe
Tel: (511) 513-6100 ext 1223

Stakeholders will be responsible for covering all of their own expenses.
Thanks. got it.

-----Original Message-----
From: Geoffrey Gamble [mailto:Geoffrey Gamble@USA.dupont.com]
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2011 4:35 PM
To: Bisbee, David F.
Cc: Polina Levit
Subject: different access code

david

my mistake!

geoff

----- Forwarded by Geoffrey Gamble/AE/DuPont on 08/15/2011 04:43 PM -----
Polina Levit

Chair:

Ayako Inumaru/PO/DuPont

A Hapiz Abdullah/PO/DuPont@DuPont, Akihiko Seto/PO/DuPont@DuPont, Carl J Lukach/AE/DuPont@DuPont,
Cheoroo Won/PO/DuPont@DuPont, Geoffrey Gamble/AE/DuPont@DuPont, George Hadi Santoso/AP/DuPont@DuPont, Graeme Longe/DuPont@DuPont, Hsing Ho/PO/DuPont@DuPont, Inasanti Susanto/AP/DuPont@DuPont,
Jeong Taik Rim/PO/DuPont@DuPont, Lam Chuan Tan/PO/DuPont@DuPont, Laohverapanich Somchai/AP/DuPont@DuPont, Lukas Tadja/PO/DuPont@DuPont,
Mardahana@pioneer.com, Minoru Amoh/PO/DuPont@DuPont,
Nandang M Holil/PO/DuPont@DuPont, Polina Levit/AE/DuPont@DuPont, Ramon S Abadilla/PO/DuPont@DuPont

Optional:

Barry M Granger/AE/DuPont@DuPont, Joy Jefferson-Hart/AE/DuPont@DuPont, Lauren Winnington/AE/DuPont@DuPont

Repeats:

This entry was created in a different time zone. The time in that time zone is: Tue 08/16/2011 10:00 AM ZE911:00 AM ZE9
Global Conference Access Numbers

Polina Levit
International Government Affairs Specialist DuPont Government Marketing & Government Affairs
601 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Suite 325 - North Building
Washington, DC 20004
202- phone
202-728-3649 fax
@usa.dupont.com

From: Geoffrey Gamble/AE/DuPont
To: david_bisbee@ustr.eop.gov
Cc: Carl J Lukach/AE/DuPont@DuPont, Minoru Amoh/PO/DuPont@DuPont, Cheoroo Won/PO/DuPont@DuPont, Hsing Ho/PO/DuPont@DuPont, Lam Chuan Tan/PO/DuPont@DuPont, Laohverapanich Somchai/AP/DuPont@DuPont, George Hadi Santoso/AP/DuPont@DuPont, A Hapiz Abdullah/PO/DuPont, Graeme Longe/DuPont@DuPont, Akihiko Seto/PO/DuPont, Jeong Taik Rim/AE/DuPont@DuPont, Polina Levit t/AE/DuPont, Geoffrey Gamble/AE/DuPont@DuPont

Date: 08/15/2011 11:22 AM
Subject: David Bisbee
Dear David,

We are delighted that you will be able to join us tonight as our speaker at the DuPont East Asia Country Leaders' Government Affairs teleconference.

As I indicated on the phone, our teleconference begins at 9 PM [2100] EDT. The call-in number is 1 80 [b] (6) [b] code [b] (6) #. If you have any difficulty, please call me on my mobile [b] (b).

Team: David Bisbee is the Deputy Assistant United States Trade Representative for Southeast Asia. He has the responsibility for negotiating the Trans Pacific Partnership [TPP] on behalf of the United States. He will talk about the TPP generally and the nations currently involved, discuss the US position, and answer any questions you may have.

Best

Geoff

This communication is for use by the intended recipient and contains information that may be Privileged, confidential or copyrighted under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby formally notified that any use, copying or distribution of this e-mail, in whole or in part, is strictly prohibited. Please notify the sender by return e-mail and delete this e-mail from your system. Unless explicitly and conspicuously designated as "E -Contract Intended", this e-mail does not constitute a contract offer, a contract amendment, or an acceptance of a contract offer. This e-mail does not constitute a consent to the use of sender's contact information for direct marketing purposes or for transfers of data to third parties.

Francais Deutsch Italiano Espanol Portugues Japanese Chinese Korean

Stan -

Thanks for your voicemail -- left you a message. Look forward to talking ahead of the Amb Kirk/Castellani call at your convenience -- around all afternoon. Probir, thanks again for the contact info on the scheduling front. Hope you both had good weekends.

Best,

Jay
have fun!
Sent from blackberry.

---------------
Jared Ragland, Ph.D.
Director, Office of Intellectual Property and Innovation
Office of the U.S. Trade Representative
Executive Office of the President
(202) 395-9453 Office
(202) Cell

From: Timothy Trainer @globalpsc.com
To: Ragland, Jared W.
Sent: Fri Jun 10 14:04:02 2011
Subject: TPP

Jared:

Thanks for the heads up. I’ll try to get to it; though I’m prepping to head off for Tbilisi over the weekend.

Regards,

Timothy P. Trainer
Global Intellectual Property Strategy Center, P.C.
910 17th Street, NW
Suite 800
Washington, DC 20006
USA
Tel. 20
Fax. 866-338-7158
www.globalpsc.com
www.ipr4development.com

NOW ONLINE
IPR Awareness: NEW VERSION OF BIZWIZ
www.galaxysystemsinc.com

NOW AVAILABLE:
2011 Edition of CUSTOMS ENFORCEMENT of INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS
Thanks, Rhonda is coming down to get you.

---

From: Kushan, Jeffrey P. [mailto:Sidley.com]
Sent: Tuesday, May 31, 2011 2:13 PM
To: Mehta, Probir J.; Taylor, Jay; Kjeldgaard, Richard
Cc: Whitlock, Joe; Ragland, Jared W.
Subject: RE: TPP

We are downstairs. Come on down when you are ready!

Jeff

Jeffrey P. Kushan
1501 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
20-735-8000 (direct)
@Sidley.com

-----Original Message-----
From: Mehta, Probir J. [mailto:ustr.eop.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, May 25, 2011 09:06 AM Central Standard Time
To: Kushan, Jeffrey P.; Kjeldgaard, Richard
Cc: Whitlock, Joe; Ragland, Jared W.
Subject: RE: TPP

Great - see you then.

From: Taylor, Jay [mailto:phrma.org]
Sent: Wednesday, May 25, 2011 9:11 AM
To: Kushan, Jeffrey P.; Kjeldgaard, Richard; Mehta, Probir J.
Cc: Whitlock, Joe; Ragland, Jared W.
Subject: RE: TPP

Next Tuesday works for me. See folks then. Have good holiday weekends.

JT

From: Kushan, Jeffrey P. [mailto:Sidley.com]
Sent: Wednesday, May 25, 2011 9:10 AM
To: Taylor, Jay; Kjeldgaard, Richard; Proibi_Mehta@ustr.eop.gov
Cc: Joseph_P_Whitlock@ustr.eop.gov; Jared_Ragland@ustr.eop.gov
Subject: RE: TPP
Hi Jay,
I am actually flying out to California this afternoon, and returning on Friday evening. I spoke to Probir, and suggested that we meet on next Tuesday at 2 pm. Does that work for you Jay? I understood the request to be one related to drafting/structure of the provisions.

Jeff

From: Taylor, Jay [mailto:jay@phrma.org]
Sent: Wednesday, May 25, 2011 9:06 AM
To: Kjeldgaard, Richard; Probir_Mehta@ustr.eop.gov; Kushan, Jeffrey P.
Cc: Joseph_P_Whitlock@ustr.eop.gov; Jared_Ragland@ustr.eop.gov
Subject: RE: TPP

Probir -

I am free to meet anytime 945 on tomorrow morning. Jeff, what does your schedule look like.

Best,
Jay

From: Kjeldgaard, Richard
Sent: Wednesday, May 25, 2011 5:33 AM
To: 'Probir_Mehta@ustr.eop.gov'; 'b1@sidley.com'; Taylor, Jay
Cc: 'Joseph_P_Whitlock@ustr.eop.gov'; 'Jared_Ragland@ustr.eop.gov'
Subject: Re: TPP

Probir

Thank you for your note. I am copying in Jay Taylor who is also now a cleared advisor. I can not make the proposal meeting as I am in Madagascar with family.

Jay and Jeff will get in touch with you regarding your request.

All the best

Richard

From: Mehta, Probir J.
To: Kushan, Jeffrey P.; Kjeldgaard, Richard
Cc: Whitlock, Joe; Ragland, Jared W.
Sent: Tue May 24 19:35:41 2011
Subject: TPP

Do you have some time Thursday morning to meet (in your cleared advisor capacity) on the various TPP text issues?

Best,
Probir

Probir J. Mehta
Deputy Assistant U.S. Trade Representative
for Intellectual Property & Innovation
Executive Office of the President
600 17th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20508
(tel) (202) 395-9441
probir_mehta@ustr.eop.gov
IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: To comply with certain U.S. Treasury regulations, we inform you that, unless expressly stated otherwise, any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication, including attachments, was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, by any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding any penalties that may be imposed on such taxpayer by the Internal Revenue Service. In addition, if any such tax advice is used or referred to by other parties in promoting, marketing or recommending any partnership or other entity, investment plan or arrangement, then (i) the advice should be construed as written in connection with the promotion or marketing by others of the transaction(s) or matter(s) addressed in this communication and (ii) the taxpayer should seek advice based on the taxpayer's particular circumstances from an independent tax advisor.

This e-mail is sent by a law firm and may contain information that is privileged or confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete the e-mail and any attachments and notify us immediately.

********************************************************************************************************************************************************************************************************
Friday, May 27, 2011

TOKYO (Nikkei)--Japan needs to add its voice to negotiations on the Trans-Pacific Partnership before it is too late, Foreign Minister Takeaki Matsumoto said Thursday, hinting that a decision on joining the talks would be made by autumn.

"There will be no point if Japan does not choose an early timing to make its views felt in the negotiations," Matsumoto told guests at a banquet for this year's Future of Asia conference.

The Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation summit in November is seen as an important milestone on the way to the TPP.

Matsumoto also said Japan is open to foreign investment to help rebuild areas laid low by the March 11 earthquake and tsunami.

In light of the disaster, the cabinet agreed earlier this month to put off a decision on joining the TPP negotiations. Prime Minister Naoto Kan's government had planned to say yea or nay in June.

"The disaster made us painfully aware that the Japanese economy is what it is because of its close ties with the global market," Matsumoto told the audience.

He said Japan will seek progress in negotiations on an economic partnership agreement with the European Union and restart stalled talks soon on EPAs with South Korea and Australia.

Matsumoto revealed that Japan is planning to hold an international conference on large-scale disasters next year and to compete to host the third World Conference on Disaster Reduction in 2015.

Turning to the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear meltdown, he acknowledged that Japan has not supplied the world with enough information and pledged to do more.

"Products found to have above-regulation levels of radiation will subject to shipment restrictions and will not be exported overseas," Matsumoto said.
The banquet marked the end of the conference, which was hosted by Nikkei Inc. and the Japan Center for Economic Research.

(The Nikkei May 27 morning edition)
From: Doug Nelson @croplifeamerica.org
Sent: Wednesday, May 25, 2011 11:21 AM
To: McCoy, Stanford
Subject: Re: Wednesday

20 (b) (6) Same as my bb, so email works as well ( I seem to be unable to not check it constantly !)

----- Original Message ----- 
From: McCoy, Stanford <Stanford_McCoy@ustr.eop.gov>
To: Doug Nelson
Cc: M Orfei
Subject: RE: Wednesday

Looking forward to it Doug. Hard to be sure how traffic will be, but if you'll send me your cell number I can call you when I'm getting close.

-----Original Message-----
From: Doug Nelson [mailto: @croplifeamerica.org]
Sent: Wednesday, May 25, 2011 11:09 AM
To: McCoy, Stanford
Cc: M Orfei
Subject: Re: Wednesday

Stan, we are in conference room, Dogwood H, at the Landsdowne resort. Whenever you arrive is fine. Plan on speaking a little about your role, latest USTR work on I P at TPP or other FTAs and any future plans. We are a small group which will really appreciate the opportunity to learn more about IP negotiations and ask you questions.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: McCoy, Stanford <Stanford_McCoy@ustr.eop.gov>
To: Doug Nelson
Sent: Fri May 20 13:05:52 2011
Subject: Wednesday

Hi Doug. For Wednesday, I’m thinking the easiest thing might be for me to leave the office at 2:30 or 3 in time to get to Lansdowne by 4 or 4:30, where we could sit down for an hour or so, and then I can still make my way home to Bethesda at a decent hour. Is that at all a good fit for your schedule?

Stan

Stanford K. McCoy
Assistant U.S. Trade Representative for Intellectual Property and Innovation
This e-mail message may contain privileged and/or confidential information, and is intended to be received only by persons entitled to receive such information. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately. Please delete it and all attachments from any servers, hard drives or any other media. Other use of this e-mail by you is strictly prohibited.

All e-mails and attachments sent and received are subject to monitoring, reading and archival by CropLife America and RISE. The recipient of this e-mail is solely responsible for checking for the presence of "Viruses" or other "Malware". CropLife America and RISE accepts no liability for any damage caused by any such code transmitted by or accompanying this e-mail or any attachment.

This e-mail message may contain privileged and/or confidential information, and is intended to be received only by persons entitled to receive such information. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately. Please delete it and all attachments from any servers, hard drives or any other media. Other use of this e-mail by you is strictly prohibited.

All e-mails and attachments sent and received are subject to monitoring, reading and archival by CropLife America and RISE. The recipient of this e-mail is solely responsible for checking for the presence of "Viruses" or other "Malware". CropLife America and RISE accepts no liability for any damage caused by any such code transmitted by or accompanying this e-mail or any attachment.
From: Geoffrey Gamble @USA.dupont.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 24, 2011 9:29 AM
To: Bisbee, David F.
Cc: Lauren Winnington; Polina Levit
Subject: RE: DuPont East Asia Teleconference

dear david

thank you. we certainly can work to your schedule - and pick a different date if that might be better. just let me know what might work when yyou can.

best

geoff

"Bisbee, David F."
<David_Bisbee@ust r.eop.gov> To Geoffrey Gamble/AE/DuPont@DuPont
cc 05/24/2011 01:19 Polina Levit/AE/DuPont@DuPont, AM Lauren Winnington /AE/DuPont@DuPont Subject RE: DuPont East Asia Teleconference

Geoffrey,

Thanks for your note. Unfortunately I'll be in Vietnam that week for negotiations and consultations.

With the time change, it might work for me to call in from Asia, I'll have to see how my schedule unfolds. Can we revisit the issue in a week when I may know better where I'll be on the 27th?

Thanks
David

-----Original Message-----
From: Geoffrey Gamble [mailto](b) (6)@USA.dupont.com  
Sent: Monday, May 23, 2011 11:52 AM  
To: Bisbee, David F.  
Cc: Polina Levit; Lauren Winnington  
Subject: DuPont East Asia Teleconference  

dear david  

many thanks for your and your team’s report on the TPP at the ITAC meeting last week. you keep us well -informed and that is something we all appreciate.  

our next DuPont Country Leaders East Asia Teleconference is scheduled for 27 June 2011 from 9 to 10 PM. i am sorry that it is so late but we could get you on from 9 to 9:30, if that helps. what would be helpful would be for you to go over progress on the TPP and where it might go from here - obviously using public information. as i indicated when we spoke, our country managers are locals but they could be useful in lobbying their own governments on the TPP.  

best wishes  

geoff  

This communication is for use by the intended recipient and contains information that may be Privileged, confidential or copyrighted under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby formally notified that any use, copying or distribution of this e-mail, in whole or in part, is strictly prohibited. Please notify the sender by return e-mail and delete this e-mail from your system. Unless explicitly and conspicuously designated as "E -Contract Intended", this e-mail does not constitute a contract offer, a contract amendment, or an acceptance of a contract offer. This e-mail does not constitute a consent to the use of sender's contact information for direct marketing purposes or for transfers of data to third parties.

Francais Deutsch Italiano Espanol Portugues Japanese Chinese Korean
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Francais Deutsch Italiano Espanol Portugues Japanese Chinese Korean

Thanks. Looping in Rhonda for preclearances...

Sent from Stanford McCoy

---

I presently expect the following individuals to attend the briefing tomorrow (I want to discuss the attendance list with you when you can. My number is: 20

Adam Gluck - BiogenIdec
Claude Burcky - Abbott
Tiffany Atwell - Abbott
Jeffrey May - Merck
Joseph Damond - Pfizer
John Steele - Lilly
Jay Taylor - PhRMA
David Korn - PhRMA
Richard Kjeldgaard - PhRMA
Bob Filippone - PhRMA
Jeffrey Kushan - Sidley
From: McCoy, Stanford  
Sent: Monday, June 04, 2012 12:55 PM  
To: 'Joseph Damond'; Mehta, Probir J.  
Cc: Taylor, Jay; 'Kjeldgaard, Richard'; Farber, Leo; Lila Feisee; Ragland, Jared W.; Lindsay, Rhonda  
Subject: RE: Meet next week?

Belated apologies for my own non-responsive ness. I see there’s been some follow up and you all know you’re in good hands with Probir!

From: Joseph Damond [mailto:bio.org]  
Sent: Wednesday, May 30, 2012 1:19 PM  
To: Mehta, Probir J.; McCoy, Stanford  
Cc: Taylor, Jay; 'Kjeldgaard, Richard'; Farber, Leo; Lila Feisee; Ragland, Jared W.; Lindsay, Rhonda  
Subject: RE: Meet next week?

Probir, as I am out next week, perhaps we could do a call early this Friday afternoon? Like 1 or 2 pm? Then, as necessary, we could follow up with a meeting in the near future?

Joseph Damond  
Senior Vice President  
International Affairs  
Biotechnology Industry Organization (BIO)  
20 

From: Mehta, Probir J. [mailto:Probir.Mehta@ustr.eop.gov]  
Sent: Wednesday, May 30, 2012 11:37 AM  
To: Joseph Damond; McCoy, Stanford  
Cc: Taylor, Jay; 'Kjeldgaard, Richard'; Farber, Leo; Lila Feisee; Ragland, Jared W.; Lindsay, Rhonda  
Subject: RE: Meet next week?

Hi Joe –

I’m just back in the office after being on leave for the past week, and am digging out of my inbox. I’m not sure if you were able to connect with Stan (he’s on paternity leave right now), but if not, would be pleased to host a meeting on the issues you wanted to discuss – perhaps on Friday or early next week?

Best regards,  
Probir

From: Joseph Damond [mailto:bio.org]  
Sent: Wednesday, May 23, 2012 2:15 PM  
To: Mehta, Probir J.; McCoy, Stanford  
Cc: Taylor, Jay; 'Kjeldgaard, Richard'; Farber, Leo; Lila Feisee  
Subject: Meet next week?

Probir/Stan: We at BIO and our colleagues at PhRMA were wondering if we could find some time next week to discuss some items of mutual interest pertaining to the TPP. If so, could you suggest some times that would work for you? Many thanks!
Best,

Joe

Joseph Damond
Senior Vice President
International Affairs
Biotechnology Industry Organization (BIO)
20 (b)(6)
From: Mehta, Probir J.  
Sent: Wednesday, May 30, 2012 4:00 PM  
To: 'Joseph Damond'; McCoy, Stanford  
Cc: Taylor, Jay; 'Kjeldgaard, Richard'; Farber, Leo; Lila Feisee; Ragland, Jared W.; Lindsay, Rhonda  
Subject: RE: Meet next week?  

Sounds good – does 1pm on Friday work?

From: Joseph Damond [mailto:jdamond@bio.org]  
Sent: Wednesday, May 30, 2012 1:19 PM  
To: Mehta, Probir J.; McCoy, Stanford  
Cc: Taylor, Jay; 'Kjeldgaard, Richard'; Farber, Leo; Lila Feisee; Ragland, Jared W.; Lindsay, Rhonda  
Subject: RE: Meet next week?  

Probir, as I am out next week, perhaps we could do a call early this Friday afternoon? Like 1 or 2 pm? Then, as necessary, we could follow up with a meeting in the near future?

Joseph Damond  
Senior Vice President  
International Affairs  
Biotechnology Industry Organization (BIO)  

From: Mehta, Probir J. [mailto:Probir_Mehta@ustr.eop.gov]  
Sent: Wednesday, May 30, 2012 11:37 AM  
To: Joseph Damond; McCoy, Stanford  
Cc: Taylor, Jay; 'Kjeldgaard, Richard'; Farber, Leo; Lila Feisee; Ragland, Jared W.; Lindsay, Rhonda  
Subject: RE: Meet next week?  

Hi Joe –  

I’m just back in the office after being on leave for the past week, and am digging out of my inbox. I’m not sure if you were able to connect with Stan (he’s on paternity leave right now), but if not, would be pleased to host a meeting on the issues you wanted to discuss – perhaps on Friday or early next week?

Best regards,  
Probir

From: Joseph Damond [mailto:jdamond@bio.org]  
Sent: Wednesday, May 23, 2012 2:15 PM  
To: Mehta, Probir J.; McCoy, Stanford  
Cc: Taylor, Jay; 'Kjeldgaard, Richard'; Farber, Leo; Lila Feisee  
Subject: Meet next week?  

Probir/Stan: We at BIO and our colleagues at PhRMA were wondering if we could find some time next week to discuss some items of mutual interest pertaining to the TPP. If so, could you suggest some times that would work for you? Many thanks!

Best,
Joe

Joseph Damond
Senior Vice President
International Affairs
Biotechnology Industry Organization (BIO)
202 [b] (6)
From: Mehta, Probir J.
To: 'JENNINGS, JAKE E'
Subject: Accepted: TPP - IP chapter
Tim –

We have been booted from the original location, so will be meeting in the 2nd Floor Conference Room of the USTR Annex.

Daniel

From: Brightbill, Timothy [mailto:Brightbill@wileyrein.com]
Sent: Monday, April 09, 2012 10:54 AM
To: Watson, Daniel L.
Subject: RE: TPP SOE language - Monday briefing

Daniel: Can you bring a few copies of the proposal with you? (since we are not allowed to print them out)

Thanks,
Tim

From: Watson, Daniel L. [mailto:Daniell.Watson@USTR.EOP.GOV]
Sent: Friday, April 06, 2012 12:38 PM
To: Brightbill, Timothy; 'Barry Solarz@steelnet.org'
Subject: RE: TPP SOE language - Monday briefing

OK. 3:30 it is. Same location.

From: Brightbill, Timothy [mailto:Brightbill@wileyrein.com]
Sent: Friday, April 06, 2012 12:12 PM
To: 'Barry Solarz'; Watson, Daniel L  @steelnet.org  @USS.COM
Subject: RE: TPP SOE language - Monday briefing

Daniel: We are all available at 3:30 pm instead of 3 pm on Monday.

Thanks again,

Tim

From: Barry Solarz [mailto: @steel.org]
Sent: Friday, April 06, 2012 10:31 AM
To: Daniel_Watson@USTR.EOP.GOV @steelnet.org; Brightbill, Timothy; @USS.COM
Subject: Re: TPP SOE language - Monday briefing

Daniel - I have a 1-hour meeting in Arlington at 2 pm on Monday. Is it possible for you and the other participants to delay the Monday start time till 3:30?

From: Watson, Daniel L. [mailto:Daniel_Watson@USTR.EOP.GOV]
Sent: Friday, April 06, 2012 08:57 AM
To: 'Thomas A. Danjczek @steelnet.org'; Brightbill; Solarz; @USS.COM
Subject: RE: TPP SOE language - Monday briefing

Tom, Tim –

We’ll meet in the 4th Floor Conference Room of the USTR Annex on Monday at 3:00. Jean Kemp will be joining me.

Enjoy the weekend and see you next week.

Daniel
From: Thomas A. Danjczek [mailto:steelnet.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2012 7:26 PM
To: 'Brightbill, Timothy'; Watson, Daniel L. steel.org USS.COM
Subject: RE: TPP SOE language - Monday briefing

Tim and Daniel, Thanks for setting this up. I look forward to participating. Best... Tom

From: Brightbill, Timothy
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2012 6:06 PM
To: 'Watson, Daniel L.' steel.org USS.COM; steelnet.org
Subject: RE: TPP SOE language - Monday briefing

Daniel: Thanks - let's plan to do in person at 3 pm - just let us know the location.

Regards,

Tim

From: Watson, Daniel L.
[mailto:Daniel_Watson@USTR.EOP.GOV]
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2012 5:59 PM
To: Brightbill, Timothy [b] [6]  @wileyrein.com

Tim - 3 on Monday is fine.

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

From: Brightbill, Timothy
[maint [b] [6]  @wileyrein.com]

Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2012 05:32 PM
To: Watson, Daniel L.; 'Solarz, Barry'

[b] [6]  @steel.org>; 'Thomas M Sneeringer' [b] [6]  @USS.COM>; 'Thomas A. Danjczek'
[b] [6]  @steelnet.org>
Cc: Brightbill, Timothy

Subject: TPP SOE language - Monday briefing

Daniel:

Thanks for offering to brief the steel-related ITAC advisors on the new SOE language for the TPP negotiations.

I would like to propose Monday afternoon at 3 pm for a briefing. We are happy to do the briefing in person or by phone, whichever you prefer given your schedule.

Just to confirm, there would be four industry participants: me, Barry Solarz (AISI), Tom Sneeringer (US Steel), and Tom Danjczek (SMA). We are all ITAC reps and cleared advisers.

Best regards,
Tim

NOTICE: This message (including any attachments) from Wiley Rein LLP may constitute an attorney-client communication and may contain information that is PRIVILEGED and CONFIDENTIAL and/or ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT. If you are not an intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination of this message is
strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please do not read, copy or forward this message. Please permanently delete all copies and any attachments and notify the sender immediately by sending an e-mail to Information@wileyrein.com. As part of our environmental efforts, the firm is WILEY GREEN(TM). Please consider the environment before printing this email.
OK, I can bring copies.

From: Brightbill, Timothy [mailto:wileyrein.com]
Sent: Monday, April 09, 2012 10:56 AM
To: Watson, Daniel L.
Subject: RE: TPP SOE language - Monday briefing

yes - all four of us are cleared

_________________________
From: Watson, Daniel L. [mailto:Daniel_Watson@USTR.EOP.GOV]
Sent: Monday, April 09, 2012 10:55 AM
To: Brightbill, Timothy
Subject: RE: TPP SOE language - Monday briefing

Will everyone there be a cleared advisor?

From: Brightbill, Timothy [mailto:wileyrein.com]
Sent: Monday, April 09, 2012 10:54 AM
To: Watson, Daniel L.
Subject: RE: TPP SOE language - Monday briefing

Daniel: Can you bring a few copies of the proposal with you? (since we are not allowed to print them out)

Thanks,

Tim
From: Watson, Daniel L.
[mailto:Daniel_Watson@USTR.EOP.GOV]
Sent: Friday, April 06, 2012 12:38 PM
To: Brightbill, Timothy; 'Barry Solarz' (b) (6) @steelnet.org (b) (6) @USS.COM
Subject: RE: TPP SOE language - Monday briefing

OK. 3:30 it is. Same location.

From: Brightbill, Timothy
[mailto: (b) (6) @wileyrein.com]
Sent: Friday, April 06, 2012 12:12 PM
To: 'Barry Solarz'; Watson, Daniel L. (b) (6) @steelnet.org (b) (6) @USS.COM
Subject: RE: TPP SOE language - Monday briefing

Daniel: We are all available at 3:30 pm instead of 3 pm on Monday.

Thanks again,

Tim

From: Barry Solarz [mailto: (b) (6) @steel.org]
Sent: Friday, April 06, 2012 10:31 AM
To: Daniel_Watson@USTR.EOP.GOV; (b) (6) @steelnet.org; Brightbill, Timothy (b) (6) @USS.COM
Subject: Re: TPP SOE language - Monday briefing

Daniel - I have a 1-hour meeting in Arlington at 2 pm on Monday. Is it possible for you and the other participants to delay the Monday start time till 3:30?

From: Watson, Daniel L.
[mailto:Daniel_Watson@USTR.EOP.GOV]
Sent: Friday, April 06, 2012 08:57 AM
To: 'Thomas A. Danjczek'
(b) (6) @steelnet.org; Tim Brightbill; Barry Solarz (b) (6) @USS.CO (b) (6) @USS.COM
Subject: RE: TPP SOE language - Monday briefing
Tom, Tim –

We’ll meet in the 4th Floor Conference Room of the USTR Annex on Monday at 3:00. Jean Kemp will be joining me.

Enjoy the weekend and see you next week.

Daniel

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

Daniel Watson

Deputy Assistant USTR, Southeast Asia and the Pacific

USTR/Office of SE Asia and the Pacific

202-395-9587

watson_daniel@ustr.eop.gov

From: Thomas A. Danjczek

Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2012 7:26 PM
To: 'Brightbill, Timothy'; Watson, Daniel L. @steelnet.org @USS.COM
Subject: RE: TPP SOE language - Monday briefing

Tim and Daniel, Thanks for setting this up. I look forward to participating. Best... Tom

From: Brightbill, Timothy

Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2012 6:06 PM
To: 'Watson, Daniel L.' @steelnet.org @USS.COM; @steelnet.org'
Subject: RE: TPP SOE language - Monday briefing
Daniel: Thanks - let's plan to do in person at 3 pm - just let us know the location.

Regards,

Tim

From: Watson, Daniel L.
[mailto:Daniel_Watson@USTR.EOP.GOV]

Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2012 5:59 PM
To: Brightbill, Timothy;

Subject: Re: TPP SOE language - Monday briefing

Tim - 3 on Monday is fine.

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless

From: Brightbill, Timothy
[mailto:(b) (6) wileyrein.com]

Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2012 05:32 PM
To: Watson, Daniel L.; 'Solarz, Barry'
Cc: Brightbill, Timothy

Subject: TPP SOE language - Monday briefing

Daniel:

Thanks for offering to brief the steel-related ITAC advisors on the new SOE language for the TPP negotiations.

I would like to propose Monday afternoon at 3 pm for a briefing. We are happy to do the briefing in person or by phone, whichever you prefer given your schedule.
Just to confirm, there would be four industry participants: me, Barry Solarz (AISI), Tom Sneeringer (US Steel), and Tom Danjczek (SMA). We are all ITAC reps and cleared advisers.

Best regards,
Tim

NOTICE: This message (including any attachments) from Wiley Rein LLP may constitute an attorney-client communication and may contain information that is PRIVILEGED and CONFIDENTIAL and/or ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT. If you are not an intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please do not read, copy or forward this message. Please permanently delete all copies and any attachments and notify the sender immediately by sending an e-mail to Information@wileyrein.com. As part of our environmental efforts, the firm is WILEY GREEN(TM). Please consider the environment before printing this email.
I can do that. I think Phil will be on travel, and then I will be the week after that. So, I’d like to get your initial feedback as soon as possible, in case there are things we need to do. Let’s look at the week of March 26. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Ragland, Jared W. [mailto:Jared_Ragland@ustr.eop.gov]
Sent: Friday, March 16, 2012 8:25 AM
To: Ives, Ralph
Cc: Agress, Philip; Doherty, Julia
Subject: Re: Meeting on TPP Medical Devices?

I’ll be on travel. How about week of 26th?
Sent from blackberry.

Jared Ragland, Ph.D.
Director, Office of Intellectual Property and Innovation Office of the U.S. Trade Representative Executive Office of the President
(202) 395-9453 Office
(202) 395-9453 Cell

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Ives, Ralph [mailto: @AdvaMed.org]
Sent: Friday, March 16, 2012 07:51 AM
To: Ragland, Jared W.
Cc: Agress, Philip; Doherty, Julia
Subject: Meeting on TPP Medical Devices?

Jared and Julia,

Could we meet next week to see where things stand?

Thanks,
Ralph
From: Ragland, Jared W.
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2012 9:59 AM
To: Ives, Ralph; Agress, Philip
Subject: RE: TPP

Just tried you, I’ll try again in 5 minutes, unless you want to call when you can.  I have a 10:30.

From: Ives, Ralph [mailto:AdvaMed.org]
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2012 9:56 AM
To: Ragland, Jared W.; Agress, Philip
Subject: RE: TPP

Jared,

I can chat between now (10am) and about noon.  (Phil is on a plane, I think.)  Best number is 202 434-7270.

Thanks,

Ralph

From: Ragland, Jared W. [mailto:Jared_Ragland@ustr.eop.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2012 9:34 AM
To: Ives, Ralph; Agress, Philip
Subject: TPP

Ralph, Phil

Do either of you have a moment to chat today?  If so, when is a good time and what is a good number to call?  If not, later this week?

Best,

Jared.

Jared W. Ragland, Ph.D.
Director, Office of Intellectual Property and Innovation
Office of the United States Trade Representative
Executive Office of the President
(202) 395-9453-Phone
(202) 395-3891-Fax
(202) Mobile
Jared_Ragland@ustr.eop.gov
[+Rhonda]

Richard – the earlier the better the week of 21st to 24th. I’ll be en route to Australia on the 27th.

We’ll have Rhonda suggest two times that work for Stan and me.

Best,  
Probir

From: Kjeldgaard, Richard [mailto:Richard@phrma.org]  
Sent: Monday, February 13, 2012 10:21 AM  
To: McCoy, Stanford; Mehta, Probir J.; 'Kushan, Jeffrey P.'  
Subject: TPP meeting - cleared advisors

Stan and Probir,

Could we meet sometime during the week of February 20 – 25 to follow-up on the discussion Jeff had with you last week? Monday the 27th would also be possible for me. This would I think need to be a cleared advisor meeting.

Separately Jennifer Osika from PhRMA will reach out to you to set up a meeting to brief you on the meetings we had last week with government officials regarding. The meetings were quite useful I think. That meeting will include Joe Damond and others.

Richard
No worries. Safe travels!

Talk soon,

Jay

Hi Jay. I will be out of the country for the next 2 weeks so won't be around then. Sorry.

Hope you are doing well. Happy New Year!

D.

-------------------
Demetrios J. Marantis
Deputy U.S. Trade Representative

Demetrios –

Happy New Year! I hope that 2012 is off to a great start.

My company chair (Belen Garijo) will be in town January 16 and 17, and I would like to bring her by to meet you and discuss a few industry issues – TPP, Turkey GMP, Russia – if you have any time either day. She is a wealth of info on the industry and the issues we face overseas. Would love to connect the two of you.

Let me know if there is a good time; regardless, I hope you are well and look forward to talking soon.

Best,

Jay
TOKYO (Kyodo)--Only six of Japan's 47 prefectural governors believe Japan should join the ongoing negotiations on the Trans-Pacific Partnership free trade pact, with the government set to make a decision on Japan's participation possibly in early November, according to a survey by Kyodo News compiled Friday.

A majority, 27 governors, said they were neither in favor nor against Japan's participation in the trade talks, while 14 were opposed.

The government of Prime Minister Yoshihiko Noda aims to decide whether to take part in the Trans-Pacific free trade talks before the Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation forum summit convenes on Nov. 12. The proposed trade deal seeks the elimination, in principle, of import tariffs on all goods.

Among those supportive of joining the trade talks were the governors of Osaka and Oita prefectures. The governors of Shizuoka and Aichi prefectures, each home to major manufacturing industry, were also supportive.

Osaka Gov. Toru Hashimoto said Japan should join the talks so that it "will not be left behind" in globalization. Oita Gov. Katsujiro Hirose said Japan should engage in the talks so that a new international framework is not created without Japan having a say in it.

Asked how the agricultural sector will be affected by participation in the TPP, 31 governors said the free trade accord would have a devastating or a largely negative impact on their farming industry. Many of the 31 prefectural heads are from major farming regions including Hokkaido and Tohoku in northeastern Japan as well as Shikoku and Kyushu.

Among them, Hokkaido Gov. Harumi Takahashi said, "Local communities could collapse as the Trans-Pacific free trade deal would affect the agricultural and related industries."

Fukuı Gov. Issei Nishikawa said 90 percent or more of local rice production in value terms would be wiped out if Japan signs up for the Trans-Pacific FTA.

Only two governors were dismissive of the TPP's potential impact on the agricultural sector. Shizuoka Gov. Heita Kawakatsu said Japanese produce can compete with foreign farm products because of its high quality. Nara Gov.
Shogo Arai said the TPP on the whole would not adversely impact the farm industry.

None of the governors felt that the government had provided sufficient information to the public about the proposed free trade deal, including the six supportive of Japan joining the talks.

The Kyodo poll was conducted in late October.

The TPP is now being negotiated by nine countries -- Brunei, New Zealand, Chile, Singapore, the United States, Australia, Peru, Vietnam and Malaysia. They are aiming to reach a broad agreement on the matter by the APEC summit meeting.
From: Ives, Ralph (b) @AdvaMed.org>
Sent: Saturday, October 22, 2011 7:49 PM
To: Cutler, Wendy; Weisel, Barbara
Subject: Fw: LDP Chief Tanigaki Says Japan Must Not Join TPP Talks Too Hastily

----- Original Message -----  
From: Hiroki Takeda [mailto:advamed-tokyo.jp]
Sent: Saturday, October 22, 2011 03:02 PM
To: Agress, Philip
Cc: Ives, Ralph
Subject: LDP Chief Tanigaki Says Japan Must Not Join TPP Talks Too Hastily

Saturday, October 22, 2011

LDP Chief Tanigaki Says Japan Must Not Join TPP Talks Too Hastily

TOKYO (Kyodo)--The leader of the largest opposition Liberal Democratic Party warned Saturday that Japan should not join the Trans-Pacific Partnership free-trade framework negotiations too hastily.

"It would be a diplomatic mistake if Japan entered talks without a sufficient amount of information," Sadakazu Tanigaki said on a television program.

He thus expressed opposition to a plan by the government of Prime Minister Yoshihiko Noda to decide whether to join the TPP talks before November’s summit in Hawaii of the Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation forum.

The TPP originated in a free trade pact that took effect in 2006 among Brunei, Chile, New Zealand and Singapore, and negotiations are under way among the four plus five Asia-Pacific economies, including major agricultural exporters Australia and the United States.
----- Original Message ----- 
From: Hiroki Takeda [mailto: [b]@advamed-tokyo.jp] 
Sent: Friday, October 21, 2011 10:08 PM 
To: Agress, Philip 
Cc: Ives, Ralph 
Subject: More than 100 lawmakers oppose Japan's participation in TPP 

Phil, 

On the previous day (Oct. 20th) there was a similar meeting of 50 LDP lawmakers who opposed Japan's participation in the TPP talks. 

Best regards, 

Mori 

Oct. 22, 2011 - 06:48AM JST 

More than 100 lawmakers oppose Japan's participation in TPP 

TOKYO — 

A group of more than 100 lawmakers adopted a resolution on Friday, opposing Japan's participation in the Trans-Pacific Partnership free trade talks. 

The ruling Democratic Party of Japan has said that it plans to make a decision on joining the TPP discussions by the Nov 12-13 Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC), meeting in Honolulu. 

The group of 110 lawmakers from both the ruling and opposition parties, includes former Prime Minister Yukio Hatoyama, and Shizuka Kamei, head of the People’s New Party, the coalition partner of the ruling Democratic Party of Japan, and is led by former Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries Minister Masahiko Yamada, Jiji Press reported. 

Yamada told a news conference that Prime Minister Yoshihiko Noda does not understand how the TPP would adversely affect Japanese agriculture.
Here is an editorial in The Japan Times on TPP. It mentions possible consequences in medical care and the National Health Insurance system.

"The Japan Medical Association has pointed out the possibility that the TPP will spread "mixed medical treatment" (combining publicly insured medical treatment with medical treatment at the patient's own expense), diminishing medical treatment covered by public health insurance. It also fears that business firms may be allowed to run hospitals, leading to a lowering of safety and withdrawal from areas where profits cannot be expected. (The government says that the points made by the JMA are not discussed in the TPP talks.)"

Best regards,

Mori

Editorial: Informed decision needed on TPP

The Japan Times: Friday, Oct. 21, 2011

Moves to join the talks for the Transpacific Strategic Economic Partnership (TPP) agreement had been put on hold since the March 11 disasters devastated the Tohoku region. But Prime Minister Yoshihiko Noda is now eagerly pushing for progress.

He hopes to accelerate discussions on the TPP within the Cabinet and the Democratic Party of Japan so he can announce a clearer stance on whether Japan will join the TPP talks when he attends a summit of the Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation leaders in Hawai i on Nov. 12-13.

One wonders whether the Cabinet and the DPJ can make a correct judgment on the merits and demerits of Japan's joining the multilateral comprehensive free-trade scheme in such a short period of time. Another problem is that the government has not disclosed sufficient information about the TPP, which covers a large number of areas of economic activities — a much larger scope than an ordinary free-trade agreement — and has the potential to drastically change the lives of people in Japan.
The original TPP was signed in 2005 by Chile, New Zealand, Singapore and Brunei. The United States, Australia, Malaysia, Peru and Vietnam are negotiating to form an enlarged TPP. In principle, the TPP aims to abolish all tariffs within 10 years. Intellectual property and liberalization of investment and services are among the many areas to be covered by the TPP.

Mr. Noda said that because the Asia-Pacific region will become an engine for economic growth, Japan's participation in the TPP will have a positive effect on the nation. But his statement could be misleading. South Korea, which has signed a free-trade agreement with the U.S., says that it will not join the TPP. Indonesia and Thailand, important ASEAN members, are not parties to the TPP talks. It is unlikely that China will join the TPP because it will see it as a Japan-U.S. economic bloc. If Japan joins the TPP, gross domestic product of Japan and the U.S. will account for more than 90 percent of the TPP members’ total GDP. In this sense, the TPP is a Japan-U.S. economic partnership.

Major exporting firms have great expectations that the tariff abolition will promote their exports. Tariffs on imported trucks in the U.S. are high at 25 percent, but other tariffs are relatively low. For example, passenger cars are 2.5 percent and bearings are 9 percent. Except the tariff rate on trucks, other U.S. tariffs are not very high, therefore an abolition of U.S. tariffs will not lead to a large increase in Japan's exports. The advantage for Japanese exports from the tariff abolition may be erased by further appreciation of the yen.

Japanese leaders have been saying that Japan's participation in the TPP will lead to the "third opening of Japan" following the first opening toward the end of the Edo period and the second opening after Japan's defeat in World War II. But this is thoughtless rhetoric tantamount to saying that Japan is a closed nation. Japan's average tariff on all items is 2.6 percent, lower than that of the U.S. Japan's average tariff on agricultural products is 12 percent, higher than the U.S.' 6 percent but lower than EU's 20 percent. That rhetoric will only provide fodder with other TPP negotiations participants to make adamant demands against Japan.

The farm ministry estimates that if Japan joins the TPP, its food self-sufficiency rate will fall from the current 39 percent to 13 percent. It is true that there exist vested interests in Japan's agriculture. The TPP may enable consumers to purchase inexpensive food from abroad (Japan's tariff on rice is ¥402 per kilogram), but Japan's food security would likely fall into the hands of the U.S., a huge agricultural exporter. Japan should consider whether such a situation is desirable. It should also consider the possibility that climate change could impact future food exports to Japan.

By carefully studying the U.S.' past economic demands against Japan, the government should consider whether the TPP will be beneficial to the Japanese people's health and safety. The U.S. has complained that Japanese tests of imported agricultural products and regulations on food labeling are too strict. It has also demanded that Japanese markets for medical insurance and drugs be liberalized. But doing so may undermine Japan's public medical insurance. The Japan Medical Association has pointed out the possibility that the TPP will spread "mixed medical treatment" (combining publicly insured medical treatment with medical treatment at the patient's own expense), diminishing medical treatment covered by public health insurance. It also fears that business firms may be allowed to run hospitals, leading to a lowering of safety and withdrawal from areas where profits cannot be expected. (The government says that the points made by the JMA are not discussed in the TPP talks.)

The U.S. may also demand an easing of Japan's labor regulations. For the sake of informed public discussions, the government should immediately disclose to the public detailed information on what will happen in each field covered by the TPP's 24 working groups if Japan joins the TPP, as well as worst scenario cases.

People pushing the TPP hope that it will serve as a leverage to increase Japanese exports, but there is no guarantee that such an outcome would raise people's income. In the midst of deflation, the most important economic goal is to increase domestic demand. The government should direct its efforts in this direction.
Stan, Probir and Gina, we have arranged for our local CropLife representative, Graeme Peters, to speak at the TPP stakeholders day, December 7 in Auckland. Appreciate any advice on anything specific that would be helpful for him to emphasize in his presentation on ag data protection.

Doug

Douglas T. Nelson

Executive Vice President, General Counsel, Secretary

CropLife America

1156 15th St. NW STE 400

Washington, DC 20005

202-682-0983 - office

202-682-0984 - main

202-463-0474 - fax

www.CropLifeAmerica.org
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Week In Review:

» GIPEC Participates in U.S. Chamber/District Export Council IPR Roundtable
» GIPC Participates at ICANN Meeting in Toronto

» ICYMI: Presidential Debate Touches on Need to Protect IP

» New Zealand Announces Stakeholder Day at Next Round of TPP Negotiations

» ICYMI: GIPC Guest-Blogs on How IP Delivers Access

Upcoming Event:

» Save the Date: Annual USPTO IP Attachés Event and International IP Index Release (December 11, 2012)

______________________________

Week In Review:

GIPC Participates in U.S. Chamber/District Export Council IPR Roundtable

On Wednesday, the GIPC took part in the IP panel of a full -day "Workshop on Defeating Foreign Trade Barriers," hosted by the U.S. Chamber of Commerce and the National District Export Council. Executive Director for International IP, Gina Vetere spoke about GIPC's work to promote the importance of IP to jobs, economic development, and competitiveness, highlighting in particular GIPC's IP Creates Jobs for America <http://click.uschamber.com/?qs=0b83309838c9b5f5f6e037444ae572a688ff0aba17a795b5b05a557f6889d9b> study, IP Delivers <http://click.uschamber.com/?qs=0b83309838c9b5f5f6e037444ae572a688ff0aba8c8221f952179da4134c9d1d> platform, and country -specific advocacy. Other panelists included representatives from the Department of Commerce, United States Patent and Trademark Office, and Office of the United States Trade Representative.

GIPC Participates at ICANN Meeting in Toronto

This week, GIPC's Chief IP Policy Counsel, Steve Tepp attended the ICANN meeting <http://click.uschamber.com/?qs=0b83309838c9b5f5f6e037444ae572a688ff0aba688ae5a481ba24ec643b134c3ed705d5b6ab15e1f604af> in Toronto. GIPC was there to represent the broad business community in support of IP protection and improved efforts by ICANN to address abuse of the Internet by criminals engaged in IP theft. The next ICANN meeting will be in Beijing, China next April.

ICYMI: Presidential Debate Touches on Need to Protect IP

In Tuesday night's presidential debate, we were encouraged to hear how sound intellectual property (IP) policy plays a role in sparking economic growth. Regardless of who occupies the White House, protecting and promoting intellectual property must be a top priority. It is clear that we must confront the theft of our national treasures -from cancer medicines to computer software- which are fostered through U.S. patents, copyrights, and trademarks.
The GIPC and partners were also featured in a U.S. Chamber social media contest, #Ask4Business, where our IP question for the candidates was promoted to hundreds of thousands.
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Please visit our blog
<http://click.uschamber.com/?qs=0b83309838cbf5ffeb62ef510fec0041a925f8f32e77a9e6ea6f9bcee1de65f> to read more.

New Zealand Announces Stakeholder Day at Next Round of TPP Negotiations

New Zealand announced on Thursday that stakeholder day at the 15th round of Trans-Pacific Partnership Agreement (TPP) negotiations will be held on December 7th at the SkyCity Convention Centre in Auckland, New Zealand. The registration deadline is November 16th. You may register here
<http://click.uschamber.com/?qs=0b83309838cbf5ffbb547cadad72166c0d602a2f030d0721c87542fc193dc241> and for more information about GIPC's TPP-related activities, please contact Miti Sathe (MSathe@uschamber.com <mailto:MSathe@uschamber.com> ).

ICYMI: GIPC Guest-Blogs on How IP Delivers Access

This week, the GIPC continued with its IP Delivers blog series on the LillyPad blog
<http://click.uschamber.com/?qs=0b83309838cbf5ff0de248449a824d8677f3210b21603f4d94038a41a852041b> with a discussion on how IP facilitates access to innovations. In the post
<http://click.uschamber.com/?qs=0b83309838cbf5ff2a500dfacee72956f41c773955fc67fe2ac4d1ca7b7a7932>, we spotlight how the assurance of intellectual property rights promotes the development of new medicines, breakthrough technologies, and generation-defining creative works.

Studies have shown that the absence of a comprehensive and robust IP framework prevents the ability for inventors to innovate, and therefore hamper public access to new inventions and creations.

Upcoming Event:

Save the Date: Annual USPTO IP Attachés Event and International IP Index Release (December 11, 2012)

The Global IP Center will launch its International IP Index, which maps the national IP environment in 11 countries at its annual event hosting the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office IP Attachés from around the world. Each year this program provides a unique opportunity for stakeholders and the IP Attachés to discuss recent trends, challenges and successes with respect to the protection and enforcement of IP rights in key markets overseas. Event and registration details to come.
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Do you want to talk today, or is Monday okay? I'm fine either way. Thanks.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Mehta, Probir J. <Probir_Mehta@ustr.eop.gov>
To: Neil Turkewitz
Cc: Alvarez, Kira <Kira_Alvarez@ustr.eop.gov>
Sent: Fri Sep 28 14:09:33 2012
Subject: Sherman meeting with Amb. Kirk

Hi Neil –

Can you let Kira and me know what Mr. Sherman wants to discuss next week? We’re assuming its TPP copyright, but is there anything else?

Stan and I will be out of the country, so Kira will be staffing the meeting.

Thanks!

PM

Probir J. Mehta  
Deputy Assistant U.S. Trade Representative  
for Intellectual Property & Innovation  
Executive Office of the President  
600 17th Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20508  
(tel) (202) 395-9441  
probir.mehta@ustr.eop.gov
Great – we’ll be in room 305.

Really appreciate it. Let’s lock it down.

JT

Sounds good – how’s 4pm? We can meet here at USTR.

Probir --

How does the afternoon of Oct 18 look for you? Appreciate it.

Hope all’s well.

JT

Jay Taylor
Vice President, International
PhRMA

From: Taylor, Jay [mailto:Probir_Mehta@ustr.eop.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, September 25, 2012 9:37 AM
To: Mehta, Probir J.; McCoy, Stanford
Cc: Lindsay, Rhonda
Subject: Re: Briefing in next week or so

How does the afternoon of Oct 18 look for you? Appreciate it.

Hope all’s well.

JT

Jay Taylor
Vice President, International
PhRMA

From: Taylor, Jay
Sent: Monday, September 24, 2012 11:54 AM
To: 'Mehta, Probir J.' <Probir_Mehta@ustr.eop.gov>; McCoy, Stanford <Stanford_McCoy@ustr.eop.gov>
Cc: Lindsay, Rhonda <Rhonda_Lindsay@ustr.eop.gov>
Subject: RE: Briefing in next week or so

Probir –

Thanks very much – am reaching out to members re dates and will be back very shortly. Really appreciate it.

Best,

Jay

From: Mehta, Probir J. [mailto:Probir_Mehta@ustr.eop.gov]
Sent: Monday, September 24, 2012 11:43 AM
To: McCoy, Stanford; Taylor, Jay
Cc: Lindsay, Rhonda
Subject: RE: Briefing in next week or so

Jay –

We’ll be back in town the week of October 15. Does that week work?

Best,

Probir

From: McCoy, Stanford
Sent: Friday, September 21, 2012 8:53 PM
To: @phrma.org' ; Mehta, Probir J.
Subject: Re: Briefing in next week or so

I'm leaving Tues for some travel that will extend into early Oct. But I could do 11 Mon or 10 Tues.

Sent from Stanford McCoy

From: Taylor, Jay [mailto: (b) (6) @phrma.org]
Sent: Friday, September 21, 2012 01:23 PM
To: McCoy, Stanford; Mehta, Probir J.
Subject: Briefing in next week or so

Stan and Probir –

I was wondering if either of you might be available to brief our senior trade folks on TPP status and EU/US talks either next week or the week after? Hope you are both well and look forward to catching up.

JT
My pleasure Bob. I enjoyed today's discussion, and look forward to working with ITAC -3.

Drew

---

Thank you for coming to brief ITAC -3 on the TPP Negotiations.

Kind regards,

Bob

Robert E. Branand, Esq.
Robert Branand International
20 (b) (6) Cell
20 (b) (6) Voice
202.546.3959 Fax
Thanks. Come to Winder and we'll meet in my ofc.

Sent from Stanford McCoy

Sure.
Jay Taylor
Vice President, International
PhRMA

12:45 ok?

Sent from Stanford McCoy

Already en route -- 1230 still ok?
Jay Taylor
Vice President, International
PhRMA
Jay, my 1:30 conflict has disappeared. Want to move this back to 1pm?

Sent from Stanford McCoy

From: Taylor, Jay [mailto:Jay_Taylor@phrma.org]
Sent: Wednesday, July 25, 2012 02:10 PM
To: McCoy, Stanford; Kjeldgaard, Richard; Whitlock, Joe; Diehl, Michael; Mehta, Probir J.
Cc: Whitlock, Joe; Diehl, Michael; Mehta, Probir J.
Subject: Re: TPP medpharm

Yes -- can do. Phone or in person?
Jay Taylor
Vice President, International PhRMA

From: McCoy, Stanford [mailto:Stanford_McCoy@ustr.eop.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, July 25, 2012 01:01 PM
To: Taylor, Jay; Kjeldgaard, Richard
Cc: Whitlock, Joe; Diehl, Michael; Mehta, Probir J.
Subject: Re: TPP medpharm

Could we possibly do 12:30? I have a meeting with Amb Kirk at 1:30.

Sent from Stanford McCoy

From: Taylor, Jay [mailto:Jay_Taylor@phrma.org]
Sent: Wednesday, July 25, 2012 08:40 AM
To: McCoy, Stanford; Kjeldgaard, Richard; Whitlock, Joe; Diehl, Michael; Mehta, Probir J.
Cc: Whitlock, Joe; Diehl, Michael; Mehta, Probir J.
Subject: RE: TPP medpharm

Stan –

I’m free between 1-3 pm tomorrow for a call or meeting.

JT

From: McCoy, Stanford [mailto:Stanford_McCoy@ustr.eop.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, July 24, 2012 8:47 PM
To: Kjeldgaard, Richard; Taylor, Jay
Cc: Whitlock, Joe; Diehl, Michael; Mehta, Probir J.
Subject: Re: TPP medpharm

Thanks Richard. If it's not too much of an imposition, it would be handy for me to have a "catch-up" conversation this week with Jay (and you by phone if feasible), and then a deeper discussion when you're back week after next.

Sent from Stanford McCoy
From: Kjeldgaard, Richard [mailto:Kjeldgaard_Richard@phrma.org]
Sent: Tuesday, July 24, 2012 06:56 PM
To: McCoy, Stanford; Taylor, Jay [mailto:Jay_Taylor@phrma.org]
Cc: Whitlock, Joe; Diehl, Michael; Mehta, Probir J.
Subject: Re: TPP medpharm

I am in Australia this week so won't be able to join - don't wait on me. If I am able I would call in but that would depend on circumstances.

From: McCoy, Stanford [mailto:Stanford_McCoy@ustr.eop.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, July 24, 2012 06:42 PM
To: Taylor, Jay; Kjeldgaard, Richard
Cc: Whitlock, Joe <Joseph_P_Whitlock@ustr.eop.gov>; Diehl, Michael <Michael_Diehl@ustr.eop.gov>; Mehta, Probir J. <Probir_Mehta@ustr.eop.gov>
Subject: TPP medpharm

Jay, Richard:
Can we possibly have a cleared advisor meeting Thursday or Friday of this week? I’d like to get up to speed on your concerns about medpharm and get a fresh start on the way forward. Joe’s out next week so that’s why I’m hoping to squeeze it in this week.
Thanks,
Stan
Thursday at 1:30 works for us, too. Do you want to call my direct line – 31

From: Whitlock, Joe [mailto:Joseph_P_Whitlock@ustr.eop.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, July 24, 2012 7:35 AM
To: Jean Prewitt
Cc: Mehta, Probir J.
Subject: RE: Follow-up - cleared advisor communication

Hi Jean,

Many thanks. Probir and I are open on Thursday after 4:30 pm eastern/1:30 pacific. Would you have any openings around that time?

We look forward to speaking with you and Susan on Thursday. We won’t be discussing any information that would be limited to CA’s during the call.

Best regards,

-Joe

From: Jean Prewitt [mailto:Jean_Prewit@ifta-online.org>
Sent: Thursday, July 19, 2012 6:36 PM
To: Whitlock, Joe
Cc: Mehta, Probir J.
Subject: RE: Follow-up - cleared advisor communication
Joe,

Tomorrow is jammed for us but we are both open next Thursday. Let me know some time slots and we will block them in.

I assume you know that, while I am cleared, Susan is not. If that makes a difference in terms of this call, we can re-arrange accordingly.

Probir, happy to meet you!

Best,

Jean

From: Whitlock, Joe [mailto:Joseph_P_Whitlock@ustr.eop.gov]
Sent: Thursday, July 19, 2012 1:59 PM
To: Jean Prewitt
Cc: Mehta, Probir J.
Subject: Follow-up - cleared advisor communication

Hi Jean,

It was great to see you and Sue yesterday. We found the discussion to be very productive, and look forward to staying in close touch with you on China issues going forward.

As promised, I am introducing you via email to Probir Mehta, who is the lead U.S. IPR negotiator in the TPP negotiations. We would be interested in chatting with you about this topic as well. Would you and/or Sue be free for a call sometime tomorrow, or alternatively, next Thursday? (Unfortunately, the rest of next week is fairly booked, given travel schedules). If neither of those dates work, we could also look at possible dates later in August.
Best regards,

-Joe

Joseph P. Whitlock
Assistant General Counsel
Executive Office of the President
Office of the U.S. Trade Representative
600 17th Street N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20508

Email: jwhitlock@ustr.eop.gov
Telephone (direct): (202) 395-4359
Facsimile: (202) 395-3639
Website: www.ustr.gov

Privileged, Attorney Work Product. Predecisional & Deliberative. If this message has been sent to you in error, please reply to advise the sender of the error and then immediately delete this message.
Of course. Thanks!

Just that, but please bear in mind that he doesn’t know what was actually proposed, only what has been publicly said.

No problem. Assume its to talk about TPP copyright exceptions? Anything else?

Thanks Probir!

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Mehta, Probir J. <Probir_Mehta@ustr.eop.gov>
To: Neil Turkewitz
Cc: McCoy, Stanford <Stanford_McCoy@ustr.eop.gov>; Alvarez, Kira <Kira_Alvarez@ustr.eop.gov>; Barzelay, Jenna <Jenna_W_Barzelay@ustr.eop.gov>; Rafdal, Anna M. <Anna_M_Rafdal@ustr.eop.gov>
Sent: Thu Jul 12 11:18:43 2012 
Subject: RE: TPP

Hi Neil –

Best to contact Ambassador Kirk’s scheduling team to set up a meeting with Cary – I’m copying Anna Rafdal and Jenna Barzelay to this note.

Best,

Probir
Cary Sherman (RIAA CEO) would like to come in to talk to Kirk about TPP. Can you organize this, or should I approach someone in his office directly? Please let me know. Thanks.

Neil
I see that USTR has posted an announcement concerning the new proposal (but not the proposal itself). Given this publicity, may I share the note that I sent to you concerning the proposal to my members? Hope all is going well. I'm going to have a ton of questions from my members, and need your guidance about what I can and can't say. Thanks.

Neil
From: Ragland, Jared W.
Sent: Thursday, June 28, 2012 3:07 PM
To: @mylan.com
Cc: Whitlock, Joe; Leist, Samuel (Intern); Mehta, Probir J.; Diehl, Michael
Subject: TPP Transparency and Procedural Fairness for Healthcare Technology

Brian, do you have a moment to chat, in your capacity as a Cleared Advisor regarding this subject? I’m around this afternoon, although I have 4pm scheduled and then a 5pm. Tomorrow I’m open between 11 and 2, and probably after 3:30.

My desk number is now 202-395-5961 and my mobile is 202 [(b) (6)]

Best,

Jared.

Jared Ragland, Ph.D.
Director, Office of Intellectual Property and Innovation
Office of the U.S. Trade Representative
Executive Office of the President
(202) 395-9453 Office
(202) [(b) (6)] Cell
Great, Rhonda, please circulate a bridge number as soon as you can. Best,

Jared.
Sent from blackberry.

-------------------
Jared Ragland, Ph.D.
Director, Office of Intellectual Property and Innovation
Office of the U.S. Trade Representative
Executive Office of the President
(202) 395-9453 Office
(202) Cell

Hi Jared:

1pm works for us.

Thanks much, leo

Leo A Farber
PhRMA
20
(b) (6)
(b) (6) @phrma.org

Leo, would 1pm, or 3:30 or after work? I just learned - have a conflict at 2. If not, I can make it work.
Hi Jared:

2pm works for us. If you could set up a dial-in, we would appreciate it.

Best, leo

Leo A Farber
PhRMA

From: Farber, Leo [mailto:(b) (6)<@phrma.org]  
Sent: Wednesday, June 27, 2012 09:47 AM  
To: Ragland, Jared W.; Taylor, Jay <@phrma.org>; Osika, Jennifer <@phrma.org>; Pratt, Neil <@phrma.org>  
Cc: Mehta, Probir J.; Diehl, Michael; Whitlock, Joe; Lindsay, Rhonda  
Subject: Re: Transparency TPP

Hi Jared:

2pm works for us. If you could set up a dial-in, we would appreciate it.

Best, leo

From: Ragland, Jared W. [mailto:Jared_Ragland@ustr.eop.gov]  
Sent: Tuesday, June 26, 2012 09:22 PM  
To: Taylor, Jay; Osika, Jennifer; Farber, Leo; Pratt, Neil  
Cc: Mehta, Probir J. <Probir_Mehta@ustr.eop.gov>; Diehl, Michael <Michael_Diehl@ustr.eop.gov>; Whitlock, Joe <Joseph_P_Whitlock@ustr.eop.gov>; Lindsay, Rhonda <Rhonda_Lindsay@ustr.eop.gov>  
Subject: Transparency TPP

Jay, Jennifer, Leo, Neil,

I’d like to have a quick call with you all tomorrow, if at all possible. I’ll be at PTO (my “new” job, sort of), but I can call from there (my direct number at my desk at PTO is 571-272-8426).

I believe I have a more or less open schedule after 11am tomorrow morning through the afternoon, so hopefully we can set a time. Perhaps I could ask one of my USTR colleagues, copied here to arrange a call-in number once we’ve settle on a time. I understand Joe is to be in tomorrow afternoon, so perhaps we could shoot for 2pmish?

Best,

Jared.
Jared Ragland, Ph.D.
Director, Office of Intellectual Property and Innovation
Office of the U.S. Trade Representative
Executive Office of the President
(202) 395-9453 Office
(202) Cell
Tanuja --

Do you have a preferred number I can reach you at?

-----Original Message-----
From: Garde, Tanuja (GE Aviation, US) [mailto:(b) (6) @ge.com]
Sent: Monday, June 18, 2012 2:58 PM
To: Mehta, Probir J.
Subject: RE: I am here this week

Yes - I am here in lovely hot and humid Cincinnati...
Does 2:30 work for you?

-----Original Message-----
From: Mehta, Probir J. [mailto:Probir.Mehta@ustr.eop.gov]
Sent: Monday, June 18, 2012 1:04 PM
To: Garde, Tanuja (GE Aviation, US)
Subject: RE: I am here this week

Tanuja --

So sorry I missed you last week. We're a few people down this month, and I was caught up in the usual bunch of crises - du-jour, that you probably fondly remember.

I'll get some time later this week, and wanted to fill you in on our next steps re: TPP. Jeremie mentioned that he wanted to set up an industry briefing on TPP as well.

Are you free Friday?

-----Original Message-----
From: Garde, Tanuja (GE Aviation, US) [mailto:(b) (6) @ge.com]
Sent: Wednesday, June 13, 2012 12:10 PM
To: Mehta, Probir J.
Subject: I am here this week

Did you want to touch base this week (you mentioned you wanted to talk with me?)

Thanks.
No worries. Safe travels!

Thanks Probir. At the airport now waiting for my short hop over the pacific.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Mehta, Probir J. <Probir_Mehta@ustr.eop.gov >
To: Neil Turkewitz
Cc: Cara Duckworth
Sent: Mon Jun 18 10:43:32 2012
Subject: RE: TPP

Thanks, Neil. Will do.

From: Neil Turkewitz [mailto: (b) (6) riaa.com ]
Sent: Friday, June 15, 2012 3:12 PM
To: Mehta, Probir J.
Cc: Cara Duckworth
Subject: TPP

Probir:

I understand that President Obama may make an announcement related to TPP when he is in Mexico next week. As you know, I will be in China and won’t be in a position to monitor developments. Would it be possible for you to let Cara (in our Communications Department) know if something gets announced? That would be great. Thanks, and have a nice weekend.

Neil
Ok, see you at 10. Rhonda let's please change the location to my office.

Sent from Stanford McCoy

As much as I agree with you, given all troubles just to get to this pt, let's just limit it to 30'

Up to you, but I'm wondering if it wouldn't be more efficient to change this to a post -Singapore briefing, when we can hopefully get better attendance?

Sent from Stanford McCoy

As of this moment, we have two attendees - Shaun Brown of Watson Pharmaceuticals (previously the Generics Association) and myself. Due to length of meeting and a lot of our Committee members being outside the area, we are a bit thin. But it's good to have it, nonetheless.

Due to low attendance, if you want to move the mtg, we can do that, too.
Trevor.

From: McCoy, Stanford [mailto:Stanford_McCoy@ustr.eop.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 12, 2013 18:53
To: Gunn, Trevor, Dr.; Mehta, Probir J.; Lindsay, Rhonda
Cc: [b] (6) @fanwoodchemical.com’ [b] [6] @advamed.org’; Lee, Daniel E.
Subject: Re: ITAC 3 Subcommittee for Pharmaceuticals and Medical Technology on TPP

Ok, we'll meet at 1724 F St NW. I was only able to get one of our smaller conference rooms at that time; hopefully we can make it work.
Please send a list of attendees to Rhonda for clearance into the building.

Sent from Stanford McCoy

From: Gunn, Trevor, Dr. [mailto: medtronic.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 12, 2013 05:34 PM
To: McCoy, Stanford; Mehta, Probir J.
Cc: [b] (6) @fanwoodchemical.com [b] (6) @fanwoodchemical.com> [b] [6] @advamed.org [b] (6) @advamed.org>
Subject: Re: ITAC 3 Subcommittee for Pharmaceuticals and Medical Technology on TPP

Stan
19th at 10am it is - 45 min mtg. I will send a note to parties of SubComm who've demonstrated an interest.

Appreciate your flexibility.

From: McCoy, Stanford [mailto:Stanford_McCoy@ustr.eop.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 12, 2013 03:08 PM
To: Gunn, Trevor, Dr.; Mehta, Probir J. <Probir_Mehta@ustr.eop.gov>
Cc: V.M. (Jim) DeLisi [b] (6) @fanwoodchemical.com>; Ralph Ives
[b] (6) @advamed.org [b] (6) @advamed.org>
Subject: RE: ITAC 3 Subcommittee for Pharmaceuticals and Medical Technology on TPP

2/19 at 10 am or anytime the afternoon of 2/21?

From: Gunn, Trevor, Dr. [mailto: medtronic.com]
Sent: Monday, February 11, 2013 8:06 PM
To: McCoy, Stanford; Mehta, Probir J.
Cc: V.M. (Jim) DeLisi; Ralph Ives [b] [6] @advamed.org
Subject: RE: ITAC 3 Subcommittee for Pharmaceuticals and Medical Technology on TPP
Stan

Sorry to be a pain, but none of the 3 will work. Can you guys try 2 -3 more?

From: McCoy, Stanford [mailto:Stanford_McCoy@ustr.eop.gov]
Sent: Monday, February 11, 2013 12:01
To: Gunn, Trevor, Dr.; Mehta, Proibir J.
Cc: V.M. (Jim) DeLisi; Ralph Ives [b]@advamed.org)
Subject: RE: ITAC 3 Subcommittee for Pharmaceuticals and Medical Technology on TPP

Hi Trevor. Looking forward to following up. Looks like we could do
2/14 at 11 am, 2/15 at 3 pm, or 2/21 at 2 pm. Are any o f those good for you?

Stan

Stanford K. McCoy
Assistant U.S. Trade Representative for Intellectual Property and Innovation
Office of the U.S. Trade Representative
Tel. (202) 395-4510
stanford_mccoy@ustr.eop.gov

From: Gunn, Trevor, Dr. [mailto: (b)@medtronic.com]
Sent: Monday, February 11, 2013 7:49 AM
To: McCoy, Stanford; Mehta, Proibir J.
Cc: V.M. (Jim) DeLisi; Ralph Ives [b]@advamed.org)
Subject: RE: ITAC 3 Subcommittee for Pharmaceuticals and Medical Technology on TPP
Gentlemen?

From: Gunn, Trevor, Dr.
Sent: Friday, February 08, 2013 11:11
To: Stanford Mccoy (stanford_mccoy@ustr.eop.gov); Probir J. Mehta (Probir_Mehta@ustr.eop.gov)
Cc: 'V.M. (Jim) DeLisi'; Ralph Ives (b)@advamed.org
Subject: ITAC 3 Subcommittee for Pharmaceuticals and Medical Technology on TPP

Stan, Probir

Hope you both are keeping well.

Further to our previous discussions, inside and outside of ITAC3, I am officially requesting a meeting with you and members of the ITAC3 Subcommittee for Pharmaceuticals and Medical Technology (which I Chair). Due to scheduling, logistics and the time sensitivity of the matter, would you be able to give us an hour for an IN PERSON meeting at some point before 21 February?

If yes, could you give us 2-3 time slots as proposals. Best, Trevor.

Trevor Gunn
Managing Director
International Relations
Medtronic, Inc. (Wash DC)
+1 20  (b) (6)
&
Adjunct Professor
School of Foreign Service (CERES)
Georgetown University
[CONFIDENTIALITY AND PRIVACY NOTICE] Information transmitted by this email is proprietary to Medtronic and is intended for use only by the individual or entity to which it is addressed, and may contain information that is private, privileged, confidential or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient or it appears that this mail has been forwarded to you without proper authority, you are notified that any use or dissemination of this information in any manner is strictly prohibited. In such cases, please delete this mail from your records. To view this notice in other languages you can either select the following link or manually copy and paste the link into the address bar of a web browser:
http://emaildisclaimer.medtronic.com
Probir:

Thanks for getting back to me. Hope you are enjoying Chile. It was one of my favorites.

You can reach me a Monday morning. After that I will be in Washington for the ITAC meeting.

One question I have is whether to try to go to Singapore in March. Also, just to let you know, I have requested a longer ITAC session on TPP either on February 6 or at the next meeting where we, as cleared advisers, can discuss with you the outstanding substantive issues in detail.

Look forward to checking in with you next week.

Travel safely,

Tony

-----Original Message-----
From: Mehta, Probir J. <Probir.Mehta@ustr.eop.gov>
To: 'jantonyimler@aol.com' @aol.com>
Sent: Thu, Jan 31, 2013 10:07 am
Subject: Hello

Tony --

I've been on the road, so haven't been able to call you back. Am in Chile this week, and returning next week. Are you around to chat?

Best,
Probir
Hi Doug --

I’m told it begins on Monday, March 4. No word on whether there will be a stakeholder event or not. Our Southeast Asia office will be sending details to stakeholders as soon as we know them.

Would be great to catch up outside of work -- let’s see how it goes when we get there.

---

From: Doug Nelson  
Sent: Friday, January 25, 2013 10:56 AM  
To: Mehta, Probir J.  
Subject: Fwd: TPP in Peru

Do we know the dates?

By the way, I will be in Singapore during most of the time your TPP negotiations are going on. I assume there will be a stakeholder opportunity to interact or present. On a personal note, I will probably be looking for dinner mates in case you can break away.

Doug

Douglas T. Nelson  
Executive Vice President, General Counsel, Secretary  
CropLife America  
1156 15th St. NW STE 400  
Washington, DC 20005  
202-463-0474 - fax  
www.CropLifeAmerica.org

Begin forwarded message:

From: Javier Fernandez  
Date: January 25, 2013 10:36:11 AM EST

To: Carlos Fernandez- Davila, Doug Nelson  
Cc: Richard Franklin

Subject: TPP in Peru

Gentlemen,

Do we have confirmed dates for the TPP Round of Negotations in Lima? I recall May 2013 was on the radar screen.
This e-mail message may contain privileged and/or confidential information, and is intended to be received only by persons entitled to receive such information. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately. Please delete it and all attachments from any servers, hard drives or any other media. Other use of this e-mail by you is strictly prohibited.

All e-mails and attachments sent and received are subject to monitoring, reading and archival by CropLife America and RISE. The recipient of this e-mail is solely responsible for checking for the presence of "Viruses" or other "Malware". CropLife America and RISE accepts no liability for any damage caused by any such code transmitted by or accompanying this e-mail or any attachment.
Probir, hi. It is my pleasure to introduce you to Ron Curry, CEO, and Josh Cavale, Legal and Policy Counsel, of the Interactive Games and Entertainment Association (iGEA), representing the industry in Australia and New Zealand.

As mentioned, Josh will be at the Auckland Round, and expects that there will be a presentation by a representative of Australia’s game development community. I have a sense of how busy your schedule is during negotiating weeks, but hope that you may find time to connect.

Ron and Josh, Probir is USTR’s Chief Negotiator on IPR matters in the TPP (and has the scars to show for it). If there is anything I can do from here to facilitate connections I hope you will let me know, and thanks.

Steve

---

Probir, Probir J. Deputy Assistant U.S. Trade Representative for Intellectual Property & Innovation
Executive Office of the President
600 17th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20508
(tel) (202) 395-9441
probir.mehta@ustr.eop.gov
I'm sorry, but I am leaving for Cambodia on Friday and will not be back until Nov 23. I look forward to catching up at a later date, but hopefully you will be able to meet with Jonathan McHale next week so we have your input before the round.

Regards,
Barbara

Dear Barbara: I hope all is well. Our associations and member companies are in the midst of preparing for our continued engagement in the upcoming rounds and outreach to other parties. Would you be available for a meeting with SIA President Brian Toohey and ITI President Dean Garfield next week to review our TPP tech priorities ahead of the next meeting in New Zealand? Please let us know which timeframes work best for you on Monday (Nov 19), Tuesday (Nov 20), or Wednesday (Nov 21).

In addition to providing you with our members’ feedback on key TPP tech issues, we’ll also share developments from our conversations with other parties to date. We are happy to host you at SIA’s offices or come over to USTR, whichever is more convenient for you. Of course, the meeting will offer you with an opportunity to let us know how we can be helpful, particularly as our associations plan our TPP engagement and outreach for 2013.

Best personal regards,
Ian
Senior talks on Aussie FTA get under way

Kyodo
Senior government officials from Japan and Australia began a four-day meeting Tuesday in Tokyo to discuss a bilateral free-trade agreement, with the outcome likely to set the tone for separate consultations on Tokyo's future participation in U.S.-led trans-Pacific free-trade talks scheduled for next week.

Australia, a major agricultural exporter and one of the nine countries negotiating the Trans-Pacific Partnership, has been calling on Japan to further open its market for beef and other farm products, while Tokyo wants them exempted from the elimination of tariffs.

At the meeting, Deputy Foreign Minister Shinichi Nishimiya expressed hopes for constructive discussions with his Australian counterparts and also noted Prime Minister Yoshihiko Noda's eagerness to advance the bilateral trade talks.

The negotiations, which began in April 2007 and are currently in their 14th round, have dragged on for almost five years. On the agenda were issues of materials and services, investment, energy and mineral resources, and food supply.

"If Japan cannot compromise on the farm sector in the bilateral free-trade talks, I'm afraid Australia will again demand at the TPP preliminary consultations that we open our market," a source involved in the negotiations said.
From: Mehta, Probir J.
Sent: Monday, February 13, 2012 2:32 PM
To: 'Kjeldgaard, Richard'; McCoy, Stanford; 'Kushan, Jeffrey P.'
Cc: Lindsay, Rhonda
Subject: RE: TPP meeting - cleared advisors

[+Rhonda]

Richard – the earlier the better the week of 21st to 24th. I’ll be en route to Australia on the 27th.

We’ll have Rhonda suggest two times that work for Stan and me.

Best,
Probir

From: Kjeldgaard, Richard [mailto: @phrma.org]
Sent: Monday, February 13, 2012 10:21 AM
To: McCoy, Stanford; Mehta, Probir J.; 'Kushan, Jeffrey P.'
Subject: TPP meeting - cleared advisors

Stan and Probir,

Could we meet sometime during the week of February 20 – 25 to follow-up on the discussion Jeff had with you last week? Monday the 27th would also be possible for me. This would I think need to be a cleared advisor meeting.

Separately Jennifer Osika from PhRMA will reach out to you to set up a meeting to brief you on the meetings we had last week wit government officials regarding. The meetings were quite useful I think. That meeting will include Joe Damond and others.

Richard
Prime Minister Yoshihiko Noda was asked by opposition lawmakers on Tuesday to clarify his statement on Japan’s decision to hold talks with partner nations on the Trans-Pacific trade framework (TPP).

During an upper house budget committee meeting, Noda said the government would not compromise what is important to Japan’s national interests. He said Japan has the option of not joining the TPP talks if it is not in the country’s interests, Fuji TV reported.

Noda has been criticized for the vagueness of his statements since he announced last Friday that Japan will participate in talks on joining the U.S.-backed TPP talks, a decision strongly opposed by farmers who say the move will ruin them.

Noda said that joining the TPP talks will allow Japan to tap into the region’s dynamic growth.

But he admitted that the way he worded his statement slightly backtracked from a clearer announcement to join TPP to show his consideration to the divisive issue. “I’m fully aware that TPP could provide a big merit but is also causing tremendous concerns,” he said. “We will defend what we must protect, and try to make gains where we can.”

Asked by an opposition lawmaker if Japan would ask for rice to be excluded from the list of products to be liberalized, Noda said it would not be prudent to reveal specific tactics at this stage, Fuji TV reported. He acknowledged that rice is a sensitive subject and said the government would use common sense to discuss the matter.

Japan Today
Noda Likely To Announce Japan's Participation In TPP Talks Thursday

TOKYO (Kyodo)--Prime Minister Yoshihiko Noda is considering formally announcing Japan's participation in U.S.-led talks on a Pacific free trade agreement next Thursday before he departs for a two-day Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation forum summit in Honolulu from Nov. 12, political sources said Friday.

The prime minister is planning to formally decide at a meeting of Cabinet ministers concerned on Thursday that Tokyo will join the Trans-Pacific Partnership free trade negotiations and to declare it at a press conference later in the day, the sources said.

Noda told reporters Friday in Cannes, France, where he attended a summit of the Group of 20 economies, a "political decision" was required to set the nation's position on the TPP talks and that he would make up his mind at an appropriate time.

Meanwhile, a project team of the ruling Democratic Party of Japan on the TPP talks decided to start procedures Sunday to reach a final conclusion on the matter by next Thursday. DPJ members remain divided over whether to join the regional free trade talks, with those opposed staging a protest rally Friday.

Former farm minister Masahiko Yamada, a leading figure among TPP opponents in the DPJ, criticized the government for not disclosing sufficient information on the free trade pact and said he feels "strongly indignant" as people cannot engage in a national debate due to a lack of information.

The TPP, originally concluded by Brunei, Chile, New Zealand and Singapore, took effect in 2006. Negotiations for a broader trade pact are under way with five other countries, including such major agricultural exporters as the United States and Australia.

Numerous agricultural cooperatives in Japan have voiced opposition to joining the framework due to concern that an influx of cheap produce would damage Japan's heavily protected farm market.
Japan Sees TPP As Strategic Partnership To Rein In China

TOKYO (Nikkei)—Joining the Trans-Pacific Partnership can be a strategic move that helps Japan maintain a delicate security balance in the Asia-Pacific region, where China is trying to expand its influence.

Prime Minister Yoshihiko Noda’s government aims to position the free trade pact as a key alliance that, along with the Japan-U.S. security framework, will serve as the backbone of regional stability.

The rise in Chinese military and economic power is a concern shared by many nations, including the members of the Association of Southeast Asian Nations.

Participating in TPP negotiations will help "create a strategic environment where China would see Japan as a formidable neighbor that cannot be pushed around," said Akihisa Nagashima, a special adviser to Prime Minister Yoshihiko Noda, in a lecture here Tuesday. Nagashima stressed that Japan should be proactive in working with the U.S. and exercise leadership in the region.

ASEAN members believe that the TPP would help increase U.S. involvement in the region. The Japanese Foreign Ministry’s analysis is that Singapore is pushing the TPP partly to keep rising Chinese influence in check and retain the U.S.'s interest in Asia.

The ministry also sees participation by Vietnam in the TPP as an attempt to reduce that country's reliance on China. For those nations, the TPP is not just an economic pact, but a pivotal partnership that contributes to regional stability.

With Europe in turmoil, the U.S. is shifting its economic focus to the Asia-Pacific region, which shows enormous growth potential. Regional security is also a factor in its pursuit of the pact.

ASEAN+3, which includes Japan, China and South Korea, can also be a ASEAN+powerful economic framework. But for Japan, joining the TPP, in which the U.S. has a strong influence, is particularly important, a Foreign Ministry official says.

(The Nikkei Nov. 4 morning edition)
TOKYO (Nikkei)—Japan would maintain universal health insurance even after joining negotiations on the Trans-Pacific Partnership, according to a Foreign Ministry report, which seeks to dispel a concern about the free trade agreement.

Public health care in Japan falls outside of the scope of the talks, according to the document, which was presented Wednesday to a meeting of the ruling Democratic Party of Japan’s TPP study group.

The report goes further than previous government statements on the TPP’s potential impact on public health insurance, which had said little more than that Japan would strive to provide dependable, safe health care.

The Japan Medical Association and others have warned that joining the TPP could lead to demands by the U.S. for unwelcome changes, including allowing stock companies to run hospitals.

Letting for-profit companies into nonprofit Japanese health care would narrow the scope of public insurance, argues the association. The fear is that quality care could end up available only to those who can afford expensive private insurance.

(The Nikkei Nov. 3 edition)